


# 2010 Nonpoint Source (NPS) Monitoring Project for Acid Mine Drainage

An Evaluation of Water Quality, Biology, and Acid Mine Drainage Reclamation in Five Watersheds:  
Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Huff Run, and Leading Creek.



Created by:  
Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs  
at Ohio University  
Jennifer Bowman  
9-1-11

## Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Reports.....</b>	<b>8</b>

### **Section I – NPS target and goals.....10**

*Section I contains an evaluation of four watersheds: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek and Huff Run with respect to meeting the State’s NPS management target and goals.*

### **Section II – Watershed reports.....19**

*Section II contains four NPS reports, one for each watershed, detailing the chemical and biological data trends from baseline condition to 2010.*

1. Raccoon Creek Watershed.....	20
2. Monday Creek Watershed .....	30
3. Sunday Creek Watershed .....	37
4. Huff Run Watershed .....	45

### **Section III – AMD project reports..... 51**

*Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.*

#### Raccoon Creek Watershed

1. Carbondale doser project.....	52
2. Mulga Run project .....	56
3. Middleton Run (Salem Rd.).....	58
4. SR 124 seeps AMD project .....	61
5. Flint Run East project.....	63
6. Lake Milton project .....	68
7. Buffer Run/Buckeye Furnace project .....	72
8. East Branch Phase I.....	74
9. Pierce Run.....	79
10. East Branch Phase II.....	81

#### Archived

9. Hope Clay project archived in 2008 NPS report	
--	--

#### Monday Creek Watershed

1. Grimmatt project .....	84
2. Jobs Doser project .....	86
3. Big Four project .....	90
4. Snake Hollow project .....	92
5. Lost Run Phase I.....	94
6. Lost Run Phase II.....	98

7. Shawnee Steel Slag project.....	102
8. Coe Hollow project.....	105
Archive	
9. Rock Run Gob Pile archived in 2009	
10. Lost Run Subsidence project archived in 2009	
11. Rock Run 24 project archived in 2007	
12. Essex Doser project archived in 2008	

Sunday Creek Watershed

1. Rodger’s Hollow Stream Capture project.....	107
2. West Branch Headwaters project.....	111
3. West Branch WB-43 stream capture project.....	113
Archive	
4. Little Hocking project archived in 2010	
5. Pine Run Stream Capture project archived in 2009	
6. Congo Stream Capture project archived in 2009	
7. Corning gob pile project archived in 2009	

Huff Run Watershed Overview.....116

1. Farr project.....	119
2. Linden Bioremediation project .....	122
3. Acid pit phase I project .....	125
4. Lindentree project.....	127
5. Harsha North project.....	130
6. Lyons project.....	133
7. Fern Hill HR-42, ponds A, B, and C project.....	136
8. Belden + Belden Gob Pile project.....	138
9. Thomas project.....	141
10. Mineral Zoar Road AMD project.....	144

Leading Creek

1. Thomas Fork Doser project.....	147
-----------------------------------	-----

**References.....150**

**Section IV – NPS entry form report 2010**

*Section IV shows the completed NPS data entry form for each individual AMD project in pdf format. These reports include all information gathered about the site description, contact, monitoring plan, design and reclamation information, average water quality data (pH, net acidity, and discharge) at long-term monitoring stations, complete list of pre and post reclamation water quality and biology data, and if applicable; photos, water quality and biology reports, and site map. These reports are available to download as pdf reports from the NPS monitoring website [www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com) under the ‘Reports Tab’.*

## **Acknowledgements**

The NPS Monitoring Project for Acid Mine Drainage is a collective effort by many people. This project would not have come together without the dedication and support of our watershed partnership. I would like to thank and acknowledge the following people for their input and contributions towards this project:

Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-MRM) - Mitch Farley, Ben McCament, Kaabe Shaw, Bill Jonard, Jim Gue, Barb Flowers, and Mary Ann Borch for funding, data collection, guidance, and being a supporter and partner in this project.

Watershed Groups –

Raccoon Creek: Amy Mackey and Brian Blair

Monday Creek: Mike Steinmaus and Nate Schlater

Sunday Creek: Amber Leasure-Earnhardt and Michelle Shaw

Huff Run: Maureen Wise

I would like to thank the watershed groups for their cooperation and patience in this project for doing everything from data collections, participation in trainings, gathering historical data, and data entry on top of their busy work schedules.

Rural Action's Americorps Watershed Crew – 2010 field crews for MAIS data collection

ODNR-DMRM summer interns – 2010 field crews for data collection and data entry

Ohio University Biological Sciences - Kelly Johnson – conducting the MAIS training, macroinvertebrate laboratory identification, data analysis, macroinvertebrate data collection, method development, and guidance.

Voinovich School – Steve Porter and Dave Simon (GIS), Taeil Kim (program designer), Lindsey Siegrist (communications), Kyoung Lim (assistant programmer), and Ed Rankin (Biologist)

Voinovich School graduate students – Lisa DeRose (data entry and data collection) and Karla Sanders (graphic design and infomatics)

## **Abstract**

The Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University has created an evaluation system to track changes in chemical and biological data for the following watersheds: Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Raccoon Creek, Huff Run and Leading Creek. The annual monitoring and reporting system was developed for Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-DMRM) in 2005 to track progress towards the targets of the state's 2005 Non Point Source (NPS) management plan for acid mine drainage (AMD). The overall goal of the NPS management plan for AMD is, by 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warmwater Habitat (WWH). This is the final year for this evaluation and analysis with respect to the metrics derived from the state's Nonpoint Source Management plan. The state has no current Nonpoint Source Management plan for the time period of 2011 and beyond as this point.

The NPS annual reporting website ([www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)) integrates water quality and biology data from watershed groups' online ArcIMS database with project status details including: maps, graphs, charts, photos, and printable reports to address the progress with respect to AMD treatment and reclamation. Water-quality and biology trends are compared through time at long-term monitoring stations and acid load reductions are measured at AMD reclamation project discharges. Incremental changes in pH, acidity, fish abundance and diversity are reported downstream of AMD reclamation projects at identified river mile markers.

Total number of stream miles impaired by acid mine drainage at baseline condition (1994 -2001) is 341. To reach the NPS goal for mining issues, 102 (30% of 341) stream miles need to meet Full Warmwater Habitat status by 2010. As of 2005, 23.3 stream miles of the 175 miles accessed met Full attainment of the Warmwater Habitat Status. In addition to tracking the overall NPS goal, smaller incremental water-quality changes were also tracked, pH values show 85 miles of stream improved from not meeting the pH 6.5 water quality standard during the baseline time period to meeting in 2005.

Continual yearly tracking of pH, acidity, and biological indicator MAIS were evaluated annually from 2006-2010. Incremental changes from year to year can be tracked using these indicators. Net acidity and pH values have improved from 2006 to 2010. Values of pH show 159 miles of stream met the pH

6.5 water quality target in 2006, 114 miles in 2007, 130 miles in 2008, 162 miles in 2009, and 169 in 2010 (Figure 1). The family-level biological indicator, Macroinvertebrate Aggregated Index for Streams (MAIS), were measured annually from 2006 to 2010, there have been slight increases and decreases seen within each watershed. Over the past five years the most notable improvement is seen in Little Raccoon Creek, Hewett Fork, and Monday Creek mainstem. There has been a steady improvement documented in the biological community as well as water quality.




Figure 1. Total number of stream miles that meet the pH target of 6.5 in Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run through time (205 stream miles monitored).

## Introduction

The Nonpoint Source (NPS) Monitoring Project was created by the Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University in 2005 and funded by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-MRM). This project was developed to address the

targets set forth for Abandoned Mine Drainage in the State of Ohio's Non Point Source (NPS) Management Plan 2005-2010. [www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/nps/NPSMP/ET/amdjumpage.html](http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/nps/NPSMP/ET/amdjumpage.html) Abandoned Mine Drainage is one of the six NPS pollutants listed as a key issue to address in Ohio to improve water quality.

The number one existing target in Ohio's NPS management plan for AMD is, "By 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warm water Habitat (WWH) aquatic life uses through increasing pH, decreasing metals and sediment loading, and minimizing degradation of primary headwater habitat." Three sub-targets have been developed to aid in addressing the overarching existing target:

1. By 2010, 20 completed and federally approved Abandoned Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plans for acid mine drainage (AMD) impaired watersheds.
2. By 2010, 10 AMD impaired watersheds have implemented some or all of the reclamation actions recommended in the endorsed AMDAT.
3. By 2006, report annually on a comparison between acidity and pH concentrations upstream and downstream of AMD project sites and long-term monitoring stations, as compared to acidity and pH reference sites within the Western Allegheny Plateau Ecoregion.

As a result of the NPS Monitoring Project funded by ODNR-MRM, an on-line reporting system, [www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com), has been created to track environmental changes in five watersheds: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Huff Run and Leading Creek. These five watersheds represent where active AMD reclamation is occurring. Chemical water quality and biological data trends have been evaluated at the AMD project level, watershed level, and collectively to address the targets described above for the State's NPS management plan.

This website provides a center repository of information relating to the AMD targets listed in the State's NPS Management Plan 2005-2010, entry forms for AMD reclamation projects, downloadable reports for: individual AMD projects, watersheds water quality trends, and NPS management plan targets, and ArcIMS database systems; where water quality and biology data can be viewed, entered, edited, mapped and downloaded for each watershed.

## Reports

The NPS monitoring reporting system ([www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)) provides four levels of reports:

Section I, reports on progress toward the State's NPS management plan target goals, Section II, provides a comprehensive watershed level report showing accumulative chemical and biological effects from abandoned mining reclamation, Section III, lists a summary report of each individual acid mine drainage reclamation project detailing project specifics (i.e. load reductions, costs, etc...), and Section IV, shows the AMD project form report showing the raw data collected from watershed groups from the ArcIMS database on the website using the NPS entry form report for 2010.

### **Section I – NPS target and goals**

*Section I contains an evaluation of four watersheds: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek and Huff Run with respect to meeting the State's NPS management target and goals.*

To address the overarching number one target of the State's Nonpoint Source Pollution management plan relating to acid mine drainage, the following activities were conducted. Baseline condition for water quality and biology were established for four watersheds where active reclamation projects are occurring; Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run. Leading Creek is planning its first reclamation project, scheduled to be complete in 2011. Data collected throughout the Leading Creek watershed will be integrated into this report as water quality changes are monitored and documented. Each of these watersheds has had extensive biological and chemical evaluations conducted by the Ohio EPA during different years. Sunday and Monday Creek's baseline conditions were derived from the 2001 TMDL biological data collected. Huff Run's baseline condition was taken from the Ohio EPA 1997 sampling event. Raccoon Creek's baseline condition, being the largest of the four watersheds, was derived from various sources (Ohio EPA and USGS) during the period of 1994- 2000. From the baseline biological data, stream miles were tallied for mining impaired streams to estimate a number of streams that are impacted by abandoned mining. Of the 763 named streams in these four watersheds, 569 miles were assessed during the baseline period (1994-2001) and was determined that 341 miles are impacted by abandoned mining practices. Therefore to set a numeric stream mile

attainment goal according to the Target #1 described in the State's NPS management plan, 102 stream miles (30% of 341 stream miles) is the goal for these four watersheds to restore to full WWH.


Over the past six years, pH along the mainstems and major tributaries of each watershed has been monitored quarterly to twice a year. The average pH values were compared through time to the state target for pH of greater than 6.5. In 2010, 169 of the 205 miles monitored met this target, which is approximately 82% of the total miles monitored.

# 2010 Stream Health Report


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)



Huff Run



Raccoon Creek



Monday Creek



Sunday Creek

**Target #1: “By 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warm Water Habitat (WWH) aquatic life uses through increasing pH, decreasing metals and sediment loading, and minimizing degradation of primary headwater habitat.”**

Water quality stations were analyzed in 2005, 2006, and 2010 for biology in Sunday Creek, Monday Creek, Raccoon Creek, and Huff Run watersheds. The total number of AMD stream miles evaluated for IBI & ICI was 175 in 2005 and 72 in 2006. Comparing the same stream segments from baseline to 2005–2006 shows the change in stream use attainment and narrative conditions, from a biologists’ perspective (these changes are not official use attainment status changes made by the Ohio EPA). The biological condition of 23.3 stream miles changed from Non-supportive and Partial attainment to Full WWH use attainment (figure 2). Although, this number is the ultimate number that is tracked in terms of the NPS management plan Target #1, there are many other significant incremental changes. These changes are tracked and described in this report; for example, attainment use changes from Non-supportive to Partial attainment, narrative description changes, acid and metal loading reductions, pH and acidity improvements, and increases in number of fish and diversity. These incremental changes may not allow

a stream segment to change use attainment status, but they do track progress toward the overarching goal and therefore have been tracked at the acid mine drainage project level reports and at the watershed level reports. Biological health based on macroinvertebrate (MAIS) and fish (IBI) data at the 60 sampling stations within these four watersheds, was collected in 2010.

During 2010, 51 stream miles were evaluated for both family-level macroinvertebrates (MAIS) and fish (IBI). Individually, over 158 miles were evaluated for just MAIS and 54 miles for IBI. This data was collected to compare these indices to the biological health targets of 12 for MAIS and IBI scores of 44/40 for wadable/boatable streams. Stream miles that improved in biological health from 2005 to 2010 are shown in Figure 3. Figures 3 and 4 show 18.4 miles were improved in the Raccoon Creek watershed and 5.3 miles improved in West Branch of Sunday Creek.

Family-level biological (MAIS) data collected annually since 2006 have begun to provide a baseline from which to measure trends in water quality. In 2005, only 44% of the stream miles monitored met the MAIS target. In 2010, 62% of the stream miles meet the MAIS target of 12 (figure 5). These results are shown in Section II for each watershed.

# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Figure 2: Biological health improvements in Raccoon Creek from baseline (1997) to 2005.






Figure 3: Biological health improvements in Raccoon Creek from 2005 to 2010.




# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

**Figure 4: Biological health improvement in Sunday Creek West Branch from 2005 to 2010.**



**Figure 5: Total percent of streams miles that met the family-level macroinvertebrate (MAIS) target of 12 within Raccoon Creek, Sunday Creek, Monday Creek, and Huff Run in 2005 and 2010.**



# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

**Table 1. Summary of the NPS targets for each of the four watersheds evaluated in 2005 to 2009: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run.**

Watershed	Total number of completed projects	Total costs	Total acid load reduction lbs/day	Total stream miles improved in 2005/2010 to meet IBI & MAIS Biological stream health targets	Goal of number of stream miles to meet WWH Full attainment by 2010	Stream miles that met the pH target	Total stream miles monitored
Raccoon Creek	11	\$9,251,839	5,438 **	23.3/18.42 (41.7)	57	111	119
Monday Creek	12 (plus 5 subsidence projects, costs are not included)	\$5,711,352	3,507	0/0	25	23	38
Sunday Creek	7 (4 of 6 are subsidence projects)	\$1,259,182*	18	0/5.26 (5.26)	18	25	38
Huff Run	12	\$4,580,165***	908	0/0	3	10	10
Total	42	\$20,802,538	9,871	23.3/23.7 (47.0)	103	169	205

\*excludes WB43 project design costs.

\*\* Salem Rd/Middleton Project evaluated at the site MiR0021 only.

\*\*\* excludes Fern Hill Pond A and Belden Gob pile project design costs.


## Reductions

**Total acid load reductions = 9,871 lbs/day**


## Costs

**Total reclamation costs = \$20,802,538**

## Biological Health Performance




## Completion



# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Overview of Watershed Projects' Progress 2010




# 2010 Stream Health Report


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Over the past four years pH has been monitored along the mainstem of each of the four watersheds. The previous four figures show a total number of stream miles that meet the pH target of 6.5 and the total number of stream miles monitored each year. Collectively, pH values showed 159 miles of stream met the pH 6.5 water quality target in 2006, 114 miles in 2007, 130 miles in 2008, 162 miles in 2009 and 169 miles in 2010. These variations in pH can be attributed to the changes in the environment due to: reclamation efforts, seasonal changes, and hydrologic conditions.

## Huff Run total stream miles monitored for pH through time




## Monday Creek total stream miles monitored for pH through time




# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

## Raccoon Creek total stream miles monitored for pH through time



## Sunday Creek total stream miles monitored for pH through time



# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

## **Sub-Target 1: “By 2010, 20 completed and federally approved Abandoned Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plans for AMD impaired watersheds”.**

- Twelve Acid Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) plans have been completed (Map 1): Huff Run, Moxahala Creek, Sunday Creek, Monday Creek, Federal Creek, Raccoon Creek Headwaters, Middle Basin Raccoon Creek, Little Raccoon Creek, Leading Creek, Robinson Run, Yellow Creek, and Upper Rush Creek.
- To address sub-target 1, “complete 20 AMDAT plans by the year 2010”, The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (MRM) AMD program is evaluating the degree and impact of AMD on streams and rivers in the coal bearing region of Ohio. This region falls within the Western Allegheny Plateau (WAP) eco-region, which covers most of unglaciated Appalachian Ohio. The ultimate goal of this undertaking is to better understand the extent of the AMD problem in Ohio, develop restoration plans (AMDATs) where applicable, and to implement AMD remediation or treatment projects where streams or rivers can be expected to improve to meet state biological water quality standards. A committee of ODNR-DMRM staff has developed a four phase process to accomplish this task.

The first phase is to determine if AMD is present in watersheds that are potentially impaired by aban-

## **Sub-Target 2: “By 2010, 10 AMD impaired watersheds have implemented some or all of the reclamation actions recommended in the endorsed AMDAT”.**

As of 2010, the following nine watersheds are implementing reclamation actions endorsed in their AMDAT plan: Little Raccoon Creek, Headwaters of Raccoon Creek, Middle Basin of Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Huff Run, Leading Creek, Moxahala and Yellow Creek.

andoned coal mines based on previous water quality data or MRM staff recommendations (Map 1 tan areas and Appendix 1). The second phase involves a more detailed investigation of those streams where AMD was documented in the first phase to determine the degree and extent of impact on water quality and on the aquatic biology (fish and macroinvertebrates). The third phase consists of developing a priority-ranking scheme and schedule for AMDAT development for watersheds impaired by AMD. This step involves determining which watersheds/streams are most likely to recover biologically if AMD abatement and treatment is initiated. The fourth and final phase is to develop AMDAT plans for priority watersheds determined in phase III. The AMDAT plan will identify and outline projects, develop a restoration strategy, and determine a cost estimate for implementation. Once an AMDAT is developed for a particular watershed, it will become eligible for AMD program funds to implement the plan. However, development of an AMDAT does not guarantee funding for implementation projects (Kinney et al. 2010).

Two new AMDAT watersheds currently under investigation include a tributary to the Muskingum River, Brush Creek in Muskingum County and a tributary to the Tuscarawas River, Mud Run in Tuscarawas County. Updates to existing AMDAT plans are being conducted in Huff Run, Sunday Creek, and Little Raccoon Creek.


## **Sub-Target 3: “By 2006, report annually on a comparison between acidity and pH concentrations upstream and downstream of AMD project sites and long-term monitoring stations, as compared to acidity and pH reference sites within the Western Allegheny Plateau Eco-region”.**

This report and website ([www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)) were created in 2005 to provide ODNR-DMRM, watershed groups, watershed professionals, Ohio EPA, USEPA and all of Ohio’s citizens an annual report of the reclamation efforts resulting in water quality and biological changes in Ohio’s streams due to abandoned mine reclamation. This report is available on the website under the reports tab and updated annually.

# 2010 Stream Health Report

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

Map 1. Ohio completed AMDAT Watersheds and Watersheds Needing AMD Evaluation 2009



See attached key for watershed HUC codes and narrative descriptions

Map prepared by Ben McCament, ODNR-DMRM 11-23-2009

## **Section II – Watershed reports**

*Section II contains four watershed level NPS reports detailing the chemical and biological data trends from baseline condition to 2010.*

1. Raccoon Creek Watershed
2. Monday Creek Watershed
3. Sunday Creek Watershed
4. Huff Run Watershed

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed



Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

The Raccoon Creek Partnership is a local partnership working towards conservation, stewardship, and restoration of the watershed for a healthier stream and community. The partnership consists of multiple agencies and individuals working to restore and promote the waters of Raccoon Creek. Encompassing over 683 square miles, the watershed lies in portions of six southeast Ohio Counties (Athens, Hocking, Meigs, Vinton, Jackson and Gallia). Raccoon Creek is one of Ohio's longest streams, measuring 112 miles draining into the Ohio River in Gallia County. Major sources of impairment to the stream include acid mine drainage (AMD), drainage from wastewater treatment facilities, and industrial discharges. By and large, AMD contributes to the vast majority of pollution issues in the watershed.

The watershed currently has over 25,610 acres of underground coal mines and 21,550 acres of surface coal mines within its boundaries. About 110 acres of abandoned coal refuse piles also lie in the watershed. These abandoned mines and refuse piles leach thousands of pounds of sulfuric acid and metals into the creek daily, significantly degrading the water quality of streams. In the late 1990's representatives from several partnering agencies, including the Institute for Local Government and Rural Development (ILGARD), Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mineral Resource Management, and Ohio EPA, prioritized sites that contributed the most AMD pollution to Raccoon Creek and began to implement restoration strategies on these sites. Because the watershed is so large, three major sub-shed divisions are used to break up the region into more manageable sections. These consist of the Headwaters, Little Raccoon, and the Middle Basin sub-sheds. Each of these sections has priority AMD projects. Some of these projects have been completed, some are in progress, and some are anticipated future projects.

## Headwaters

The major priority sites in the headwaters sub-shed include East Branch and West Branch, where several impacted tributaries contribute to significant acid and metal loadings in Raccoon Creek. Brushy Creek and the Mainstem of Raccoon Creek above Brushy Creek are also priority AMD abatement sites.



Raccoon Creek near Moonville, Photo by Ben McCament

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

## Little Raccoon

Flint Run is the largest contributor of AMD in the Little Raccoon Creek watershed. A majority of this (90%) is attributed to a 240-acre site in the headwaters. This site, called Broken Aro, previously housed a coal preparation facility and mine tailings dump. Project was completed in 2006. Major AMD contributors in this basin include Mulga Run, Buffer Run and Goose Run.

## Middle Basin


Major acid contributors in the middle basin include Rock Camp and Pierce Run. Rock Camp is the most consistent contributor of AMD, and has net acidic water regardless of flow. Pierce Run has experienced some net alkaline flows; it is thought that this might result from current mining operations in the area.

## Watershed Outreach


In addition to the technical work of AMD remediation, other activities in the watershed are geared toward meeting goals of stewardship and conservation in the region are coordinated by the Raccoon Creek Partnership. Annual litter pick-ups, and canoe-floats all encourage residents to become stewards of our watershed. The Waterloo Aquatic Education Center is used for school programs for youths to help educate students about water quality, acid mine drainage, and the value of clean water. In addition, a community group has formed to address access issues for canoers and kayakers who wish to paddle on the creek, the Raccoon Creek Water Trail Association.

For further updates on the progress in Raccoon Creek, please visit our webpage at:  
[www.raccooncreek.org](http://www.raccooncreek.org)

## Biological Health Performance



## Completion



## Reductions

Total acid load reduction = 5,438 lbs/day  
Total metal load reduction = 1,057 lbs/day

Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).


Design = \$1,696,731  
Construction = \$7,555,108

Total Costs through 2010 = \$9,251,839

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Timeline of the Raccoon Creek Watershed Project Milestones & AMD Projects





This timeline shows the history of the Raccoon Creek Watershed Partnership, started almost two Decades ago by a group of concerned local citizens. Today, the partnership consists of multiple state and local agencies and private citizens. AMD projects have been administered through the Vinton Soil and

Water Conservation District and Ohio University's Voinovich School (ILGARD), with funding from various state and federal grants but mostly from Ohio EPA's 319 program and ODNR-MRM's AMD program.

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

## Chemical Water Quality



In Raccoon Creek pH values have improved throughout the watershed from baseline conditions (1994-2001) to 2010. Raccoon Creek mainstem, Hewett Fork and Little Raccoon Creek average pH values have increased from a range of 4.0-5.4 during baseline to 5.5-8.0 in 2010. (In 2010, 10.7 river miles in Hewett Fork, all 27 river miles in Little Raccoon Creek, and all 58 miles along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek all met the pH standard (pH >6.5)).


# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality

There are approximately 119 stream miles monitored each year along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek (downstream to Rio Grande), Little Raccoon Creek, Hewett Fork, and East and West Branch. A pH target has been set to 6.5. Each year there is an increase in the number of miles that meet this target. In 2007 nearly 64 miles of the 113 monitored met this target. In 2008, there was a large increase (30%) with near 91 stream miles meeting the pH target of 6.5 of the 119 miles monitored. In 2009, 98 of the 119 miles monitored met the target, a 7% increase from 2008. Currently in 2010, 111 of the 119 miles of stream monitored met the pH target, an 11% increase from 2009 (Figure A).

Figure A. Raccoon Creek total stream miles monitored for pH through time




# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Raccoon Creek baseline MAIS



### Raccoon Creek 2010 MAIS



MAIS samples were collected throughout Raccoon Creek in 2010, these stations has been established as annual monitoring stations for macroinvertebrates. These sites are used to track incremental changes each year, figures 1 and 2.


# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

Macroinvertebrate scores show an overall reduction in biological quality below the Carbondale doser (RM 11.0) that improves gradually further downstream (Figure B). The macroinvertebrate community at RM 10.4 showed statistically significant improvement after the installation of the doser, but the biological community two miles further downstream consistently remains the most degraded section of the reach. Biological quality between these two sites (in the 3 miles downstream from the doser) exhibits high annual variability that may be related to episodic pulses of acid or metals. Although water chemistry targets are achieved by the site on King Hollow Rd, RM 6.4, approximately five miles downstream of the doser, the macroinvertebrate communities do not reach the target MAIS score of 12 for several more river miles, at RM 4.0. The sampling station at the mouth of Hewett Fork at Moonville (RM 0.9) remains of reasonably good quality in spite of limited riffle habitat and achieved a score of 17 ('Very Good' quality rating) in 2009.

Figure B. Area of degradation for MAIS scores in Hewett Fork from 2006 to 2010.



The blue dashed line identifies the highest MAIS score ever achieved at that site throughout the monitoring time period.

### Hewett Fork MAIS Regressions

RM	MAIS scores									Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2001	2002	2003	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
13.4					11	8	9	12	13	no change	0.274	5
10.4	2	3	3	5	9	3	7	6	6	<b>some improvement</b>	<b>0.062</b>	9
8.3					7	3	5	6	3	no change	0.456	5
6.4					9	9	8	10	10	no change	0.319	5
4					13	13	14	13	13	no change	1.001	5
0.9					12	12	15	17	13	no change	0.379	5

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Raccoon Creek - Little Raccoon Creek

Little Raccoon Creek showed solid trends of improved biological quality since 2006, particularly at RM 19.5 and sample stations further downstream (Figure C). Much of the improvements followed the completion of the six major reclamation projects upstream of RM 19.5 (Mulga Run, Salem Road/Middleton Run, State Rte. 124 seeps, Flint Run East, Lake Milton, and Buckeye Furnace).

Figure C. Area of degradation for MAIS scores in Little Raccoon Creek from 2006 to 2010.



### Little Raccoon Creek MAIS Regressions

RM	MAIS scores						Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
24.4	8	10	11	11	9	9	no change	0.868	6
22.3	8	10	10	9	10	10	no change	0.233	6
19.5		7		9	11	12	<b>improved</b>	<b>0.001</b>	4
18.7	14	9	12	9	13	11	no change	0.769	6
12.7	3	11	13	13	14	14	<b>improved</b>	<b>0.051</b>	6
1.2	14	14	13	15	17	16	<b>some improvement</b>	<b>0.078</b>	6

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Raccoon Creek – Middle Basin

The four sample sites along the twelve river miles of the Middle Basin of Raccoon Creek all show modest, but important improvements in biological quality since 2006. Although the statistical significance of the trend is not fully apparent with only four annual samples, all the sites surpassed the MAIS target score of 12 in 2010 (Figure D).

Figure D. Area of degradation for MAIS scores in Middle Basin from 2006 to 2010.



### Middle Basin MAIS Regressions

RM	MAIS scores					Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
65.8	11		16	16	14	no change	0.508	4
61.2	12		12	13	15	some improvement	0.087	4
60.1	10		13	10	13	no change	0.436	4
57.5	11		13	10	14	no change	0.576	4


# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Raccoon Creek Mainstem

The thirty or more miles of the Raccoon Creek Mainstem have shown transient years of improved quality, some achieving MAIS scores of 17 ('Very Good' quality rating) in the past two years 2008- 2010. Biological quality at most of the sample sites in this section was already reasonably good when consistent MAIS monitoring began (in 2007 for most sites) (Figure E).



The blue dashed line identifies the highest MAIS score ever achieved at that site throughout the monitoring time period.


### Raccoon Creek Mainstem MAIS Regressions

RM	MAIS scores						Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
111.4	8	9	12	9	10	12	no change	0.172	6
104.6		9	11	12	9	11	no change	0.702	5
102.1		11	11	10	13	10	no change	1.000	5
92.3				10	10	17			
89.6		13	14	11	16	12	no change	1.000	5
89.36			12	16	14	17	no change	0.243	4
80.6		14	14	17	16	12	no change	0.794	5

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

- Monday Creek, located in the Appalachian Region of southeastern Ohio, is a 27-mile long tributary of the Hocking River, the latter which flows directly into the Ohio River. The Monday Creek Watershed drains a 116 square-mile area, with streams winding through portions of Athens, Hocking, and Perry Counties.
- Monday Creek Restoration Project is a program of Rural Action, Inc., a non-profit group working to revitalize Appalachian Ohio. Our project is a collaborative partnership of officials and residents of the Monday Creek watershed, along with more than 20 other organizations and state and federal agencies. Our shared goal is to restore the watershed for the benefit of local communities. Large portions of Monday Creek and its tributaries are dead due to acid mine drainage (AMD) left behind from a century of coal mining.
- Since 1994, our partnership has worked together to identify water quality problems, conduct field research and site characterization, and prioritize and plan ongoing restoration activities.
- In 1997-1998, we identified issues to be addressed for the long-term improvement of the watershed, and to the benefit of local communities. These issues, along with goals, objectives, action strategies, and progress indicators are discussed in detail in the Monday Creek Comprehensive Management Plan.
- To learn more about the Monday Creek Restoration Project, visit our website at [www.mondaycreek.org](http://www.mondaycreek.org) or call 740-394-2047



363,425,000 gallons of stream water per year eliminated from entering into the deep mines as the result of conducting seven stream capture closure projects in Monday creek



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Reductions

**Total acid load reduction = 3,507 lbs/day**

**Total metal load reduction = 538 lbs/day**

*Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).*

## Costs

**Design \$345,056 (excluding Snake Hollow)**

**Construction \$5,366,297**

**Total costs through 2010 = \$5,711,352**

## Monday Creek Stream Capture Projects

**Project status: Six subsidence closures projects were completed from 1995-2007**


Project Name	Year project complete	Acres Captured	Agencies funding	Estimated gallons/yr of water diverted from entering the deep mine
Majestic Mine	1999	100	ODNR-DMRM	36,860,000
Salem Hollow	2000	60	ODNR-DMRM	22,116,000
Murray City	2004	5	ODNR-DMRM	1,843,000
Goose Run	1995	506	ODNR-DMRM	186,512,00
Snow Fork	1999	140	ODNR-DMRM	51,604,000
Lost Run	2007	100	USFS	35,000,000
Coe Hollow	2010	80	USFS	29,490,000

Seven stream captures located in the Monday Creek Watershed were closed and completed from 1995 to 2010. A total of 991 acres surface drainage area drained year round into the deep mines and as a result of closing these subsidences holes. Using the equation for annual average discharge where 1 sq. mile = 1 cfs (USGS 2001), approximately 363,425,000 gallons per year were diverted from entering into the deep mine thus abating the generating of acid mine drainage.

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Completion



**Sub-target 2:** Total projects proposed in 1999 AMDAT = 13  
Total projects complete = **12 (plus 5 subsidence closures)**

## Attainment Miles



Total stream miles assessed impacted by mine drainage = **83 miles**

Target #1 indicates 30% attainment of impaired streams by 2010 = **25 miles**

2006 progress = **0 miles** meeting Full WWH attainment (*33 miles assessed in 2006*)

## Cumulative BMP's Installed

Coe Hollow Treatment/BMP	
Passive Wetland	9.2 acres
Steel slag leach bed	13,608 sq.ft.
Subsidence closures	80 captured acres
Limestone leach bed	54,320 sq.ft.
Limestone J-trench	276 linear feet



## Projects Completed Jan. 1, 2010–Dec. 31, 2010

**Coe Hollow** **\$1,748,446**

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality





In Monday Creek pH values have improved throughout the watershed from baseline conditions (2001) to 2010.

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality



MAIS samples were collected throughout Monday Creek at established annual monitoring stations from 2001 through 2010.


# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality

There are approximately 38 stream miles monitored each year along the mainstem of Monday Creek and major tributary Snow Fork. A restoration target for pH is 6.5. Since 2007 there have been increases and decreases in the number of stream miles that meet this target. In 2007, 19 stream miles of the 38 monitored met the pH target of 6.5. However in 2008 only 7 miles of the 39 miles monitored met this target. In 2009 and 2010 data shows an increase again with approximately 24 of the 39 miles monitored meeting the pH target (Figure A).

Figure A. Monday Creek pH




# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

In 2010, most of the sample sites along the Monday Creek mainstem achieved the highest biological quality scores (macroinvertebrates) seen since monitoring began almost a decade ago, and many sites showed substantial gains in the last five years, since 2006 (Figure B). The improvements at RM 23.5 and downstream are reflected in substantially higher MAIS scores, and these trends were statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) or somewhat significant ( $P < 0.10$ ) at ten of the thirteen sites for which the most long-term data is available (5 -9 years) (Figure C). The data indicate notable gains just in the last year, as 2009 scores at many of the sites were unremarkable.



The blue dashed line identifies the highest MAIS score ever achieved at that site throughout the monitoring time period.

**Figure C. Monday Creek MAIS Regressions**

RM	MAIS Scores										Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2001	2002	2003	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010				
JH00902				8	6	6	4	4	4		declined	0.010	6
JH00500	4	6	4	7	6	5	4	7	8		no change	0.154	9
25.3				7	8	7	4	9	6		no change	0.769	6
24.3				6	8	12	12	11	11		some improvement	0.091	6
23.5	5	3	1	11	7	9	12	7	13		improved	0.031	9
19.6	8	9	10	13	11	12	12	13	16		improved	0.001	9
16	2	6	6		12	11	10	10	10		improved	0.013	9
10.5	5	10	13	13	12	14		12	16		improved	0.019	9
9.4					8	9	10	9	14		some improvement	0.097	5
7.3				8	7	7	8	10	14		improved	0.058	6
4.3	2	6	2	8	6	9	7	4	13		some improvement	0.064	9
SY00080				9	4	13	6	7	8		no change	0.921	6
SY RM0.1				6	3	5	8	10	10		improved	0.040	6


# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

Sunday Creek Watershed Group (SCWG) is a nonprofit citizens group committed to restoring and preserving water quality through community interaction, conservation, and education in pursuit of a healthy ecosystem capable of supporting bio-diversity and recreation. Sunday Creek Watershed is a program of Rural Action, Inc., a non-profit group working to revitalize Appalachian Ohio. Sunday Creek Watershed covers 139 square miles (88,775 acres) and encompasses part of Perry, Athens, and Morgan Counties. Sunday Creek measures 27 miles long and starts flowing north of Corning and flows south through Chauncey where it enters into the Hocking River. Sunday Creek Watershed is primarily wooded (78%), 38% of the watershed has been deep mined for coal, and 15% of the land is public, owned by the Wayne National Forest. Major water quality impacts on Sunday Creek include acid mine drainage, improperly treated wastewater, illegal dumping, and sedimentation. The watershed group focuses restoration activities around these issues.

Since the group was founded in 1999, they have completed seven acid mine drainage reclamation projects within the Sunday Creek Watershed and are currently working on two new projects for next year. These projects have been funded by EPA Section 319 Grants and OSM Appalachian Clean Stream Initiative Grants with matching funds from the ODNR-DMRM. Over the last ten years, SCWG has also completed 17 upgrades of septic systems, planted thousands of trees, cleaned up over 200 tons of garbage, and educated thousands of children. The group is able to complete projects to improve water quality due to the strong partnerships of agency officials, residents, and other non-profits in the region. Sunday Creek Watershed Group completed their AMDAT plan in 2003 and is currently working on updating this plan. The watershed group has also completed an updated watershed action plan that is now officially endorsed by the State of Ohio.

To learn more about the Sunday Creek Watershed Group, visit our website at [www.sunday-creek.org](http://www.sunday-creek.org) or call 740.767.2225.



# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Reductions


Project Name	Year Completed	Acres Captured	Agencies funding	Estimated water diverted from entering the deep mine
Congo Run CR-15	2004	72	ODNR-DMRM, OSM	24,000,000 gallons/yr
Pine Run	2007	138	ODNR-DMRM, OEPA	50,867,000 gallons/yr
Rodgers Hollow	2007	1,600	ODNR-DMRM, OEPA	589,290,000 gallons/y
Little Hocking	2009	286	ODNR-DMRM, OSM	105,400,00 gallons/yr
West Branch WB43	2010	65	ODNR-DMRM	26,000,000 gallons/yr

Five stream captures located in the Sunday Creek Watershed were closed and completed from 2004-2010. A total of 2161 acres surface drainage area drained year round into the deep mines and as a result of closing these subsidence holes 795,557,000 gallons per year were diverted from entering into the deep mine thus abating the generating of acid mine drainage. Expected additional alkaline loading from these closures returning clean water to the receiving streams is 986 lbs/day. As result of the Rodgers Hollow Subsidence closure, the deep mine discharge in Drakes has seen a reduction in Acidity loads by 18 lbs/day.

## Biological Health Performance



## Completion



## Costs

Design = \$208,941  
Construction = \$1,050,241


Total costs through 2010 = \$1,259,182  
(excluding Congo Run CR-15 & WB 43 design)

# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality

### Sunday Creek baseline pH



### Sunday Creek 2010 pH




Water quality along the West Branch Sunday Creek has been degrading since baseline conditions in 2001. Values of average pH dropped from  $>6.4$  to 4.0-5.4 range in 2005 to 2006 and remained constant in 2007. When the subsidence features increased in Rodger's Hollow, funneling more water into the mine that generated AMD and discharged it into West Branch of Sunday Creek, the water quality decreased. However, since the subsidence closure in Rodger's Hollow in late 2007, the 2008 data for the first time shows an increase in pH along this stream segment. The average pH in 2007 at site WB 003 was 4.83, in 2008 5.97, in 2009 6.08, and 6.25 in 2010.

# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Sunday Creek baseline MAIS



### Sunday Creek 2010 MAIS



MAIS samples were collected throughout Sunday Creek at established annual monitoring stations from 2001 through 2010.


# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality

There are approximately 39 stream miles monitored each year along the mainstem of Sunday Creek and major tributary West Branch. A restoration target for pH has been set to 6.5. Since 2007 there have been increases and decreases in the number of stream miles that meet this target. In 2007 nearly 25 miles of the 35 monitored met this target. In 2008, this number remained constant. In 2009 a 25% increase was recorded with 32 stream miles of the 38 monitored met the pH target of 6.5. While in 2010, only 25 of the 38 miles met the target (Figure A).

Figure A. Sunday Creek pH




# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

The biological quality along the Sunday Creek mainstem reflects the longitudinal pattern in water chemistry, with poor scores immediately below Corning (RM 24) and gradual improvement to just upstream of the Truetown discharge (RM 7.3) (Figure C). Since 2006, most of the sites along the Sunday Creek mainstem have shown good potential for recovery by achieving notably higher scores in some years (highest year, dashed line). However, for most sites the gains were transient and often lost in subsequent years. This pattern is particularly apparent in the 16 miles between RM 23.3 and 7.3, below the Corning discharge. However, the lowermost site near the mouth (RM 0.2) shows a solid (statistically significant) trend of improvement since 2006, a good reflection of the cumulative effects of activities in the watershed. Improvements in biological quality are seen in the West Branch, again most notably at RM 13.3, which supported almost no macroinvertebrates in 2005 (MAIS score of "1") and at site river mile 6.2, both of which showed statistically significant trend of improvement since 2005. The site at river mile 6.2 of West Branch attained the target MAIS score of "12" in 2010 with a score of 13 (Figure D).

Figure C. Area of Degradation 2006-2010



The blue dashed line identifies the highest MAIS score ever achieved at that site throughout the monitoring time period.

# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality


**Figure D. Sunday Creek MAIS Regressions**

RM	MAIS Scores										Linear Regression	P-value	No. of years
	2001	2002	2003	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010				
Mainstem													
24				12	10	10	14	13	13		no change	0.232	6
23.3				5	3	2	7	12	5		no change	0.334	6
21.9	2	1	2	11	5	5	9	2	3		no change	0.610	9
18.2	5	9	8	10	8	10	5	7	8		no change	1.000	9
7.3	10	11	11	11	10	10	10	12	11		no change	0.502	9
0.2	4	2	3	8	7	3	6	11	8		improved	0.047	9
West Branch													
WBHW50					11	10	11	8	12		no change	1.000	5
WBHW003				5	6	4	8	6	8		no change	0.176	6
13.3				1	2	2	5	5	7		improved	0.002	6
11.4				8	4	2	7	9	5		no change	0.848	6
10.3				8	4	3	4	8	4		no change	0.750	6
6.2				7	10	8	10	10	13		improved	0.042	6

# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Timeline for Sunday Creek Watershed Project Milestones



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)



- Huff Run flows from the Morges community in Carroll County, into Tuscarawas County and has its confluence in the Conotton Creek just South of Mineral City, Ohio. Huff Run is 9.9 miles long with a 13.9 square mile watershed. Almost all land east of State Route 542 (about 2/3 of the watershed) has been mined for coal and some limestone and clay. Because much of the land mined was not reclaimed, the watershed is plagued with the resulting acid mine drainage. Other pollution issues in the watershed include illegal dumping, poor riparian buffers, raw sewage entering the stream, oil and gas impacts, and agricultural impacts.

- The Huff Run Watershed Restoration Partnership Inc. (HRWRP) was founded in 1996 by a group of concerned citizens. The HRWRP has partnered with ODNR/MRM, Rural Action, OEPA, Crossroads RC&D, OSM and others to fulfill their mission statement which is "To restore the Huff Run watershed by improving water quality and enhancing wildlife habitat, through community support and involvement."


- The Farr Anoxic Limestone Drain, the first passive treatment system in the watershed, was constructed in 2000. Also, HRWRP can boast of building the first bioremediation system in Ohio with their Linden Restoration Project. They also were awarded a US EPA Targeted Watershed Grant in 2005 for their Belden Successive Alkaline Producing System. At their 10 year anniversary, seven restoration projects have been completed with funding obtained for five more.

- To learn more about the HRWRP, visit their website at [www.huffrun.org](http://www.huffrun.org) or call 330-859-1050 to reach their office.


# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Attainment Miles



## Completion



## Reductions

**Total acid load reduction = 81 lbs/day at site HRR08**

**Total acid load reduction = 908 lbs/day**  
at project effluent sites Linden, Lindentree, Belden, Acid pit #1, Fern Hill, Thomas, Harsha and Lyons.

## Costs


Design \$561,586 (excluding Fern Hill, pond A & Belden Gob)

Construction \$4,018,576

**Total cost through 2010=\$4,580,165**

The mainstem of Huff Run is approximately 10 miles in length with monitoring occurring year round. In 2009, 8 miles met the pH target of 6.5 while the two downstream stream reaches (HRR08 and HRR07) fall slightly below the target with an average pH of 6.4. In 2010, all 10 miles met the pH target (Figure A).

Figure A. Huff Run pH




# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Timeline of the Huff Run Watershed Project Milestones & AMD Projects

This timeline demonstrates this history of the Huff Run Watershed Restoration Partnership and the work done to restore Huff Run. AMD projects have been administered through Crossroads RC&D, the Tuscarawas Soil and Water Conservation District and the present sponsor of Huff Run, Rural Action. Funding has been

secured for projects through the Office of Surface Mining, Ohio EPA 319 Program, US EPA Targeted Watershed Grant Program and match from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mineral Resources Management.




# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Chemical Water Quality

### Huff Run baseline pH



### Huff Run 2010 pH




Huff Run pH values have improved from baseline conditions (1985-1998) to 2010.

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality

### Huff Run baseline MAIS



### Huff Run 2010 MAIS




Biological quality in Huff Run (based on macroinvertebrate data) showed significant improvements at five stations between 2006 and 2009 (Figure B), but in 2010 scores dropped at the headwaters (RM 1.4 and 8.4) and the two lowermost sites (RM 1.4 and 0.35), reducing the strength of the trend such that only two river miles (RM 7.1 to 4.9) exhibit more stable improvements in biological quality (Figure C).

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Biological Water Quality



The blue dashed line identifies the highest MAIS score ever achieved at that site throughout the monitoring time period.

**Figure C. Huff Run MAIS Regressions**

RM	MAIS Scores					Linear trends	P-value	No. of years
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
8.4	11	12	12	13	9	no change	0.6	5
7.1	8	8	8	9	11	<b>some improvement</b>	<b>0.068</b>	5
5.6	6	7	6	8	9	<b>some improvement</b>	<b>0.069</b>	5
4.9	7	9	8	9	9	no change	0.18	5
2.7	4	5	3	4	5	no change	0.76	5
1.4	3	3	2	8	2	no change	0.76	5
0.35	0	4	3	4	3	no change	0.31	5

### **Section III – AMD project reports**

#### **Raccoon Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2010.**

*Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.*

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported in the 2010 NPS monitoring report:

1. Carbondale II Doser
2. Mulga Run
3. Middleton Run
4. State Route 124 Seeps
5. Flint Run East
6. Lake Milton
7. Buckeye Furnace/Buffer Run
8. East Branch Phase I
9. Pierce Run
10. East Branch Phase II  
Archive
11. Hope Clay – Status Completed archived in 2008 report\*

\* “Status Completed” projects are no longer being monitored

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Carbondale II Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 2004 ODNR Project Number: AT-WI-05

## Pre-construction



Carbondale East Seep, Photo by Brett Laverty

## Post-construction




Carbondale II Project Doser, Photo by JT Kneen


Carbondale II Wetland is located in Section 30 of Waterloo Township in Athens County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101030010. The site is seven acres and located in the subwatershed Hewett Fork of Raccoon Creek Watershed. The majority of AMD in Hewett Fork originates from abandoned underground coal mines near Carbondale. ODNR-DMRM installed a passive wetland treatment system to reduce the acid and metal load from two mine portals in this area in the mid 1990's. This wetland was effective at reducing metal and acid loads but was not efficient enough to produce improvements in Hewett Fork. The Carbondale Doser was implemented as Phase II at the site to remediate the entire acid load from the mine discharge in 2004. The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$48,023. The treatment approach for this site was to install an Aqua-fix lime-dosing unit. The major considerations in this design were the metal precipitates discharge into Hewett Fork because of the limited space for storage ponds on site. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acid load discharging from the Carbondale mine seeps. One problem encountered at this site was the dosing material performance. Initially lime kiln dust was used, but the material bridges in the dosing unit. The material was switched to calcium oxide, a more expensive material but greater neutralizing potential. Therefore the doser now has the ability to over-treat and neutralize acid mine drainage from downstream sources. Construction was complete April 1, 2004, by Law General Contracting for a cost of \$389,637. The major responsibility of the construction company was to remove existing metal retention wetlands and install the doser and a concrete mixing channel. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM, and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEPA, and OSM-ACSI. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 776 lbs/day of acid were reduced from entering into Hewett Fork as a result of this AMD reclamation project. In addition to the acid load

## Site: HF131


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

reduction there is an addition of approximately 174 lbs/day of alkalinity to Hewett Fork both as dissolved and solid unused calcium oxide. Dissolved metal load reduction occurring at this site was approximately 169 lbs/day. The metals precipitate as a result of the high pH water and become part of the substrate in the receiving stream.

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Carbondale II Doser


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report


Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Carbondale II Doser project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 11 miles. Pre-construction data showed, pH in the range of 2.8 – 5.9 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Carbondale II Doser, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.1– 9.0 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 11 miles downstream to station HF010.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Carbondale II Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 6/21/1996 to 5/1/2003 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2004 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Average discharge measurements were used to calculate load reductions using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) instead of deriving the mean annual discharge from the drainage area because the discharge from the Carbondale II Doser site is controlled primarily by deep mine drainage and not surface drainage.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Carbondale II Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction





Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Mulga Run

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 8/30/2004 ODNR Project Number: JK-MI-51

## Pre-construction



Underground mine entrance, Photo by Brett Laverty

## Post-construction



Jaymar Steel Slag Leach Bed, Photo by Brett Laverty


Mulga Run Reclamation Project is located in Section 10 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 6.8 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. Mulga Run is considered the third largest contributor of acid mine drainage to this subwatershed according to the AMDAT in 2001. Due to drainage from abandoned deep mines and un-reclaimed coal refuse piles throughout, a basin wide treatment approach was used to reduce acid and metal loads to Little Raccoon Creek. The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$247,127. The treatment approach for this site was to install two steel slag leach beds and conduct a wetland enhancement project. The major consideration for this design was to attempt to treat entire basin with steel slag leach beds and wetland instead of treating all acid mine drainage sites in the basin. Mulga Run discharge was sometimes net alkaline; however, the site was also capable of producing acid spikes (3000 lbs/day) throughout the year. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acid spikes and create consistent net alkaline water discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. The project goal was met by 100 percent. A private residence height was increased to reduce the flood risk adjacent to the project site. Construction was complete August 30, 2004, by Stockmeister Enterprises for a cost of \$440,783. The funding source, for this the project design were Ohio EPA and ODNR-DMRM and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEPA and OSM-ACSI. On average approximately 10 lbs/day of acid and 177 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

## Site: MR0010

### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Mulga Run

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction





Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Salem Road/Middleton Run

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 2005 ODNR Project Number: JK-MI-51

## Pre-construction



Exposed mine pit floor, Photo by Brett Laverty

## Post-construction




Middleton Run limestone channels, Photo by Ian Hughes

Salem Road/Middleton Run Project is located in Section 15 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site totals 60 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. This large area has been affected by deep mines, strip mine lands, and un-reclaimed mine spoil that was part of the Broken Aro mine. Abandoned surface mines affect about 63% of this watershed while abandoned subsurface mines affect about 5 %. The main valley on the sites was exposed pit floor with high amounts of clay and acidic spoil. Additionally, acidic lakes were present as well as a discharging underground mine. This project has three different drainages, all tributaries to Middleton Run. The design was completed by GAI Consultants Inc. and Bergmann Associates for \$193,283. The treatment approach for this site was to install 3 separate treatment components consisting of: open limestone channels, steel slag channels, reclamation, J-trenches, and a limestone leach bed (see diagram on page 3 of this report). The major consideration for this design was to eliminate all water storage, create contours for positive drainage, cover toxic materials, and generate alkalinity. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acidity loading discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. Data monitored at the mouth of Middleton Run (MiR0010) for 2006-2008 have shown that 554 lbs/day of acid and 50 lbs/day of metal loads have been reduced from entering Little Raccoon Creek. Construction was complete November 15, 2005, by Stockmeister Enterprises Inc. for a cost of \$687,913. The funding source, for the project design and construction were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA. On average approximately 245 lbs/day of acid and 44 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Middleton Run Creek as a result of site MiR0021 reclamation project. Each of the three treatment components, MiR0021, MiR0032, MiR0090 were evaluated in 2008 and 2009 but this analysis

## SITE: MiR0021


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load




### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

was discontinued in 2010 because monitoring in 2008 to 2009 showed site MiR0021 as the only treatment functioning and providing alkalinity for Middleton Run. The other two treatment sites (MiR0032 and MiR0092) have failed due to clogging.





An analysis of the acid and metal load reductions of the three separate treatment components are shown below.

Sample site ID	Description of the sampling station
MiR0090	Tributary draining limestone leach bead treatment, site is at crossing with Salem Road
MiR0090 Road	Tributary draining limestone leach bed treatment, site is at crossing with Salem Road
MiR0032	Sample site located directly below the dam at MiR0031. Two limestone J-trenches with steel slag cores.
MiR0021	The site represents discharge across the former mine pit floor. Sample site is at the Salem Road culvert (Fresh water pond draining into a limestone and steel slag channel.)

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Salem Road/Middleton Run

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - State Route 124 Seeps

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 6/18/2001 ODNR Project Number: JK-MI-47

## Pre-construction



Between pond and seep, Photo by Brent Miller

## Post-construction




Sr 124 hillside limestone channel, Photo by Chip Rice


State Route (SR) 124 Seeps Project is located in Section 15 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 7 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. This area consisted of an abandoned surface coal mine with an acidic surface pit. This un-reclaimed mine, resulted in seeps which drained directly into Little Raccoon Creek adjacent to SR 124. The site was reclaimed, pit was drained and regraded, and an open limestone channel was installed to collect drainage before discharging off site. The design was completed by ATC Associates Inc. for \$80,000. The treatment approach for this site was to install several open limestone channels and conduct basic reclamation. The major consideration for this design was to establish positive drainage, remove several highwall impoundments, cover toxic materials, establish vegetations, and add alkalinity through the limestone channels. The goal of the design was to remove acidity from entering into Little Raccoon Creek. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Construction was complete June 18, 2001, by Oldtown Coal Company for a cost of \$315,490. The major responsibility of the construction company was to complete all reclamation activities described in the project design. The funding source, for the project design and construction were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA. On average approximately 85 lbs/day of acid and 11 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

## SITE: OTF0010


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).


# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - State Route 124 Seeps

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com


## Water Quality – load reductions

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

**Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Flint Run East

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 8/1/2006 ODNR Project Number: Jk-MI-34

## Pre-construction



Flint Run East site discharge, Photo by Ben McCament

Flint Run East is located in Section 28 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The project site is 56 acres and is located in Little Raccoon Creek next to Lake Milton. Flint Run East project is Phase I of the Flint Run Reclamation Project, Lake Milton is Phase II. The project discharge was measured at the tributary draining the Flint Run East treatment site. The Flint Run sub watershed is affected by abandoned strip mine drainage and associated unreclaimed coal refuse piles mostly from the Broken Aro mine which is in the headwaters of Flint Run. This area was the coal washing and loading facility for the Broken Aro mine. The site is very complex hydrologically, the site consists of large buried slurry impoundments and surface mining pits around the rim of the main valley. Mead-Westvaco reclaimed the main slurry pond area with paper mill sludge in the mid 1980's. AMD seeps originate in many locations associated with the slurry impoundments and the surface mine pits. The design was completed by RD Zande for a cost of \$241,702. The treatment approach for this site was

Treatment Installed	Quantity & Units
Earthwork	56 acres
Erosion Control	13,000 linear feet
Dewatering Existing Impoundments	12,827,200 gallons of water
Sediment Pond	87,400 square feet
Steel Slag Leach Bed	32,500 square feet
Fresh Water Storage Pond	84,800 square feet
Limestone Leach Bed	10,400 square feet
Wetland, passive	4,800 square feet
Successive Alkaline Producing Systems (SAPS)	32,500 square feet
Open Limestone Channel	13,650 linear feet


## Post-construction




Air photo of Flint Run site near completion, Photo by Ben McCament

### SITE: FR0126


#### Pre treatment acid load




#### Pre treatment metal load



#### Post treatment acid load



#### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

to dewater the strip pits and install passive acid mine drainage treatment systems. The major consideration during the design process was to reduce groundwater infiltration into the valley coal refuse pile. The goal has been met 100%. Construction was complete Aug. 1, 2006, by Berridge Reclamation for a cost of \$1,456,106. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM for the design and ODNR-DMRM, EPA-319 and OSM ACSI for construction. Figure 3 to 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 506 lbs/day of acid and 140 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Flint Run East


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report


Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Initial results from the Flint Run East Project indicate, pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for 7.0 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 2.7 – 6.7 at the project discharge and downstream. However, after installation of the Flint Run East Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.9 - 7.1 at the discharge, and downstream. The net acidity concentrations decreased 70 percent at the project discharge showing net alkaline conditions for 7.0 miles downstream to station (LRC0030 88% 2007, 85% 2008, 73% 2009).

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Flint Run East

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality- load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1/1/1975 to 5/31/2006 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2006 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction, with the exception for the acid load reduction graph (figure 3). Acid load reductions were calculated for 2007 - 2010, this excluded the 2006 date where initial acid load reduction were high and have since decreased. The 2007 - 2010 data portray current conditions more accurately.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Flint Run East

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction






Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Flint Run East

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com




# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Lake Milton

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 9/5/2006 ODNR Project Number: Jk-MI-113

## Pre-construction



Lake Milton - 25 acre acidic lake, Photo by Ben McCament


Lake Milton is located in Section 28 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The project site is 155 acres and is located in Little Raccoon Creek next to the Flint Run East Project. The Lake Milton Project is Phase II of the Flint Run Reclamation Project. The project discharge was measured at the outlet from Hothouse Lake. Lake Milton is part of a manmade drainage system that was used during mining operations for coal washing by the Broken Aro mine. Lake Milton is adjacent to the Flint Run East site and is a 15 acre lake with a small watershed area. AMD originates in spoil areas near Upper Lake Milton (separated by railroad embankment) before flowing into Lake Milton. Additional AMD is generated after Lake Milton discharges into coal slurry waste in the valley downstream of the lake dam. Lake Milton drains into Hothouse Lake before entering into Flint Run. The design was completed by Bergmann Associates and GAI Consultants Inc. for a cost of \$416,000. The treatment approach for this site was to repair the Lake Milton, dam and to install a Successive Alkaline Producing System (SAPS) and a steel slag leach bed. The major consideration during the design process was the crucial need to treat the acid mine drainage in Upper Lake Milton to drain to Lake Milton before running into the steel slag bed downstream of Lake Milton. The goal of the design is to reduce 600 lbs/day of acid loading. Problems occurred with the valves in 2007, therefore this project only worked intermittently until Sept. 2007. Construction was complete September 5, 2006 by Stockmeister Enterprises Inc. for a cost of \$961,536. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-MRM, EPA-319 and OSM ACSI for both the design and construction. Figures 3 to 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 1067 lbs/day of acid and 89 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek.

## Post-construction



Steel slag bed downstream Lake Milton, Photo by Ian Hughes

## SITE: FR0120



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

Treatment Installed	Quantity & Units
Water Treatment in Lake Milton	50 million gallons
Open Limestone Channel	2,300 linear feet
Steel Slag Leach Bed	74,000 square feet
Successive Alkaline Producing Systems (SAPS)	16,000 square feet
Repair Dam with Slurry Wall	75,000 square feet


# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Lake Milton

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com


## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream downstream of the project discharge. As a result of the Lake Milton project the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 7.0 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.0-6.7 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Lake Milton Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.1-7.7 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline concentration for 7.0 miles downstream to station LRC0030.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Lake Milton

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 7/28/1998 to 8/9/2005 for pre-construction and from 10/16/2006 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction




# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Lake Milton


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

### Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction



### Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Buckeye Furnance

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 6/20/1999 ODNR Project Number: Jk-MI-18

## Pre-construction



Mine waste in valley, Photo by Raccoon Creek Partnership


## Post-construction



Successive Alkaline Producing System (SAPS), Photo by Ben McCament

Buckeye Furnace and Buffer Run Project is located in Section 25 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 65 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. Deep mining of the area resulted in continuous AMD discharge from underground mines to Buffer Run, a tributary to Little Raccoon Creek. This area was also strip mined and used for a wash plant facility for a deep mine operation, resulting in several unreclaimed coal refuse areas and slurry ponds draining to Buffer Run. The design was completed by BBC&M Engineering Inc. for \$125,000. The treatment approach for this site was to eliminate strip pits, reclaim the gob pile, and install a Successive Alkaline Producing System (SAPS) a passive treatment system. The major considerations for this project was mostly source control and but also constructing a passive treatment system. The goal of the design was to reduce 75 percent of the acidity discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. The acidity load has been reduced by 78 percent. Construction was complete June 20, 1998, by Earth Tech Inc. for a cost of \$1,090,530. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM, and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEPA and OSM. On average approximately 1562 lbs/day of acid and 234 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

## SITE: BR0010




Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Buckeye Furnance


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

**Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase I

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 12/31/2007 ODNR Project Number: HC-ST-13

## Pre-construction



East Branch EB200 Nov. 2003, Photo by Brett Laverty

## Post-construction




Site #3 steel slag leach bed full of water 2-7-08, Photo by Amy Mackey

East Branch Phase I Reclamation Project is located in Section 14 and 15 of Starr Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05090101020010. There are six separate sites spread out over three headwater drainages of the East Branch of Raccoon Creek, project footprint of the six sites is approximately 27 acres. East Branch is the largest contributor of acid mine drainage to the headwaters of Raccoon Creek. Large areas of strip mined land, some has been reclaimed under the 1972 Act, coupled with few deep mine discharges resulting in seeps, contribute to the AMD which affects East Branch and its tributaries. The AMD is diffuse throughout the area due to the extensiveness of surface mining and has required a basin wide approach that focuses on reducing acid and metal load to Raccoon Creek. The design was completed by ATC Associates Inc. for \$65,438. The treatment approach for this site was to install six steel slag leach beds (16,251 sq. ft), 1,100 linear feet of open limestone channels, reclaim 4.8 acres of gob piles, and install two passive settling ponds with limestone berms (42,000 square feet). The goal of the design was to reduce acid at the mouth of the East Branch (EB010). Construction was complete December 31, 2008 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$911,287. The funding source for the project design was Ohio EPA 319 grant and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA 319. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 1165 lbs/day of acid and 136 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into East Branch and Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

Note: EB210 site does not take into account 1 SLB site #8 (EB160)



## SITE: EB210



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

## Water quality report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project. East Branch Phase I Reclamation project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity at East Branch river mile 6.33, downstream to the mouth of East Branch, and along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek, shown below. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.5–6.2 at river mile 6.33 of East Branch and downstream of the project on Raccoon Creek. Post-construction data at EB210 downstream to Raccoon Creek show pH in the range of 5.8-6.7. The net acidity concentrations decreased by 83 percent, showing net alkaline conditions downstream in Raccoon Creek mainstream (7.3 miles).



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase I

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 6/1/1996 to 11/1/2004 for pre-construction and from 2/18/2008 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction




# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase I


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

### Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction




### Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase I

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Pierce Run

*Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com*

**Project Status: final completion expected summer 2011 ODNR Project Number: VN-Vn-06**

## Pre-construction



*Oreton Seep, the major acid mine drainage discharge  
Photo by Ben McCament*

## Post-construction



*Freshwater pond overflow at Pierce Run  
Photo by Raccoon Creek Partnership*

Pierce Run Reclamation Project is located in Section 19 of Vinton Township in Vinton County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05090101040020. The Oreton Seep is located in the former town of Oreton along SR 160 in Vinton County and is the most consistent and largest acid loader within the Pierce Run watershed. The source of the seep is a 116 acre underground coal mine (Clarion 4a seam) which was abandoned by the Oreton Mining Company in October of 1924. The seep appears to originate from a collapsed abandoned entry in an upper valley of a small unnamed tributary. The design was completed by ATC Associates Inc. and ODNR-DMRM. The treatment approach for this site is to install one large steel slag leach bed. The goal of the design is to reduce acid at the mouth of the Pierce Run (PR0010) before entering into Raccoon Creek. The project goal will be evaluated in 2011 annual report. Initial construction was complete Fall 2010 by Seals Construction for a cost of \$588,943. However, modifications to the dam and pipes are needed. Modifications are scheduled for summer 2011. Funding source for the project design was Ohio EPA 319 grant and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, Ohio EPA 319, and OSM. After one year of evaluation the acid and metal load reduction will be reported in the 2011 annual report.

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - Pierce Run

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project. Post construction monitoring will begin winter 2011, results will be reported in 2011 annual report.

### Data analysis

Pierce Run Reclamation project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity at the mouth of Pierce Run and along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek, shown above. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.5 – 6.9 at the mouth of Pierce Run and downstream along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek. Post-construction data will be reported in 2011 annual report.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase II

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Completed December 2010 ODNR Project Number: HC-St-14

**Pre-construction**



*Kern Hollow SLB site, Photo by Amy Mackey*

**Post-construction**



*Steel slag bed at Kern Hollow, Photo by Amy Mackey*

East Branch Phase II is located in Section 14 of Starr Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05090101020010 just southeast of Union Furnace. The East Branch Phase II project discharge, site EB190, is located just upstream of Laurel Run Road bridge. East Branch Phase II project consists of constructing three steel slag beds in the project area: Kern Hollow, Northwood, and Forrest, all of which are on Wayne National Forest property. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM in-house. The treatment approach for this site is to install three steel slag leach beds to add alkalinity to East Branch. The goal of the design is to reduce approximately 900 lbs/day of acid load at the site EB190. Construction was completed Fall 2010 by Stimmel Construction for a cost of \$712,883.30. Funding source for the project design and construction is ODNR-DMRM and OSM. Additional data will be collected in 2011 to report acid and metal load reduction in the 2011 annual report.

# 2010 NPS Report - Raccoon Creek Watershed - East Branch Phase II



Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project. Post construction monitoring began fall 2010.

### Data analysis

East Branch Phase II pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity at the site EB190, Figure 1. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.79 – 6.5 at site EB190 and downstream along the mainstem of Headwaters to Raccoon Creek. Post-construction data collection began late fall 2010. Initial data results for post construction at site EB190 to downstream Raccoon Creek show pH in the range 6.4-8.8. The net acidity concentration decreased by 100 percent with net alkaline concentrations for 13 miles downstream.



### **Section III – AMD project reports**

#### **Monday Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2010.**

*Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.*

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2010 NPS monitoring report:

1. Grimmitt Hollow
2. Jobs Hollow Doser
3. Big Four Hollow
4. Snake Hollow
5. Lost Run Phase I
6. Lost Run Phase II
7. Shawnee Steel Slag
8. Coe Hollow
- Archived
9. Rock Run Gob Pile archived in 2009
10. Lost Run Subsidence Closures archived in 2009
11. Essex Doser archived in 2008
12. Rock Run 24 archived in 2007

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Grimmatt Hollow

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 12/31/2003 ODNR Project Number: PR-SI-14

## Pre-construction



Grimmett Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction



Grimmett Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project


Grimmett Hollow is located in Section 4 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The project site is five acres and located on Grimmatt's Property in the headwaters of Jobs Hollow, the project discharge is measured at the bridge on CR223. This area was affected by abandoned strip mining, deep mining, and a remnant gob pile. The valley contained a wetland that received water from both strip pits and deep mines in the area. The gob pile was situated in the stream channel downstream of the wetland.

The design was completed by Red Wing Engineering for \$19,000. The treatment approach for this site was to enhance an existing 1.3-acre wetland with two rock dams (300 linear feet), incorporated with alkaline material (LKD) as well as install (500 linear feet) open limestone channels (OLC) at seep locations and regrade, soil and vegetate a gob pile (0.15 acres).


A stream was routed away from the gob pile via the open limestone channel. The goal of the design was to decrease acidity by 13.6 tons per year. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Major considerations encountered during the design process were the diffuseness of the AMD sources from above drainage underground mines, numerous seep discharges in the basin, gob pile and spoil deposited in wetland, and a stream flowing through the gob pile. Construction was complete Dec. 31, 2003 by Perry Reclaiming Inc. for a cost of \$160,000. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-MRM and EPA-319 for both design and construction. On average approximately 92 lbs/day of acid and 7 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Jobs Hollow and Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.

## Site: JH09020


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load




Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Grimmitt Hollow


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

**Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Jobs Hollow Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 7/20/04 ODNR Project Number: PR-SI-13

## Pre-construction



Jobs Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction




Jobs Hollow Doser, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

Jobs Hollow Doser is located in Section 5 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site is located in the headwaters of Monday Creek Watershed downstream of Jobs Hollow at the bridge on Portie Flamingo Road (CR 12). This basin contains approximately 13 small tributaries, most of which are affected by acid mine drainage. The major contributors of acidity are from diffuse deep mine seeps and numerous gob piles. Due to the diffuse and abundant AMD sources and their inaccessibility, a doser was the most practical and efficient method for treatment.


The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$66,916.50. The treatment approach for this site was to install a lime doser. The goal of the design was to decrease acid load from the headwaters of Monday Creek by 54 percent. The project goal was met 100 percent. One major consideration encountered during the design process was that the dosing unit is located adjacent to an intermittent tributary of Monday Creek. Therefore a retention pool was created to create a constant supply of water to the doser. Construction was complete July, 20, 2004 by Tuson Inc. for a cost of 319,066.50. Funding sources for this project were ODNR-MRM, OSM-ACSI and OEPA-319 for design and ODNR-DMRM and OSM-ACSI for construction. Figure 3 and 4, estimate approximately 691 lbs/day of acid was reduced from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project (shown on page 3). Dissolved metal load reduction occurring at this site was approximately 97lbs/day. The metals precipitate as a result of the high pH water and become part of the substrate.

## Site: JH00500


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load




Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

## Water Quality Report


Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post- construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Jobs Hollow Doser project, the pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for 10 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.5 – 5.9 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Jobs Hollow Doser, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.5 – 8.2 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased 100 percent showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 10 miles downstream.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Jobs Hollow Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 10/1/1997 to 5/1/2004 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2005 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Stoertz, Mary W. and Douglas H. Green, 2004. Mean Annual Acidity Load: A Performance Measure to Evaluate Acid Mine Drainage Remediation. Ohio Department of Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration Innovations 2004 Applied Research Conference at Ohio University.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction




# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Jobs Hollow Doser


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

**Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Big Four Hollow

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 10/29/2004 ODNR Project Number: HC-Wr-20

## Pre-construction



Big Four Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction




Big Four Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

Big Four Hollow is located in Section 14 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060030. The project site covers 285 acres of a 410 acre sub-watershed (Big Four Hollow) draining to Monday Creek. Big Four Hollow is underlain by deep mines and has been surface mined around the hills where the coal crop was accessible causing many AMD seeps to discharge in the basin.


The design was completed by USFS and TN & A for \$19,000. The treatment approach for this site was to install two limestone leach beds (3000 sq. ft) and approximately 1,400 linear feet of limestone channel (OLC). The goal of the project was to decrease acidity concentrations by 82% at station BF00400. However only 37% of the acidity concentration has been decreased at site BF00100. Construction was complete Sept. 17, 2001, by Pangea for a cost of \$320,000. The funding sources for this project were USFS for the design and MCRP, ODNR-DMRM and USFS for construction. On average, approximately 240 lbs/day of acid and 15 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project. This project was designed to reduce acidity, not metals, but the natural wetland at the mouth of Big Four Hollow retains some metals.

## Site: BF00100


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load




Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Big Four Hollow


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

### Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction



### Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Snake Hollow

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 12/31/2004 ODNR Project Number: HC-Wr-19

## Pre-construction



Snake Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction




Snake Hollow, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project


Snake Hollow is located in Section 18 of York Township in Athens County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060030. The site encompasses the entire Snake Hollow subwatershed, approximately 500 acres in size. Snake Hollow is a tributary to Monday Creek. Numerous deep mine discharge sites are located on the hillsides around the headwaters and along the stream. The area was stripped at the outcroppings along the ridges where the coal portals from the deep mining had originally been. The design was completed by the U.S. Forest Service (Wayne National Forest). The treatment approach for this site was to construct approximately two miles of limestone channels (OLC) and two slag leach beds, close nine subsidence holes and two portals and enhance the existing one acre wetland with limestone rock dams. The goal of the design was to reduce acid and metals concentrations discharging into Monday Creek. On average approximately 547 lbs/day of acid and 72 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project which was the goal of the project. A major consideration encountered during the design was the documented capture of the Indiana Bat. During the design process, access road costs doubled. Construction was complete Dec. 31, 2004, by Environmental Quality Management for a cost of \$740,000. The funding sources were ODNR-DMRM and USFS for both design and construction.

### Site: SH00100


#### Pre treatment acid load




#### Pre treatment metal load



#### Post treatment acid load



#### Post treatment metal load




Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Snake Hollow


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 1 and 2 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2.

**Figure 1. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 2. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase I

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 10/31/2006 ODNR Project Number: HC-Wr-30

## Pre-construction



Lost Run Seep (1W2 Seep) Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction




Lost Run Seep (1W5 Seep) Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project


Lost Run Phase I is located in Section 36 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site is located at the mouth of the first tributary to the west in the Lost Run subwatershed. Project area is less than five acres. Lost Run is a tributary to Monday Creek at river mile 16.08. The Lost Run area was providing recharge to underground mine complexes. The majority of AMD discharging in the lower portion of Lost Run occurred beneath the abandoned high walls, or near the perimeter of surface mine reclamation areas at the coal crop line. Seeps also occur in areas where overburden was deposited. The design was completed by Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management (\$35,000). The treatment consisted of constructing a 13,700 square foot limestone leach bed and installing 3,540 linear feet of limestone channels to treat acid mine drainage from five locations. The goal of the design was to reduce acid and metal concentrations discharging into Monday Creek. Construction was complete 10/31/2006 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$475,000. Problems with the limestone leach bed were encountered summer of 2007. The system was modified and repaired August 2007. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate that 509 lbs/day of acid and 63 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering Monday Creek as a result of Phase I AMD reclamation project in Lost Run. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM for the design and for construction was MCRP, ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA 319.

## Site: LR01020


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

## Water Quality Report

Water samples were collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Lost Run Phase I Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 6.0 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.4 – 6.6 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Lost Run Phase I Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.1 – 6.9 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 57% at the project discharge.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase I

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge from 5/9/2001 to 6/19/2006 for pre-construction and from 3/6/2007 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction. *Stoertz, Mary W. and Douglas H. Green, 2004. Mean Annual Acidity Load: A Performance Measure to Evaluate Acid Mine Drainage Remediation. Ohio Department of Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration Innovations 2004 Applied Research Conference at Ohio University.*

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction




# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase I


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figure 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figure 5 and 6.

### Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction



### Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase II

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 7/1/2007 ODNR Project Number: HC-Wr-34

## Pre-construction



Lost Run Seeps, Photo by Nate Schlater

## Post-construction




Lost Run limestone leach bed site (4W) Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project


Lost Run Phase II is located in Section 30 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060010. Upstream AMD is generated from subsidence features, spoil blocks in side drainages of intermittent streams, fractured high walls, and slumped drift mine entries. Phase II of the Lost Run reclamation project consists of numerous alkaline addition systems spread throughout the headwaters of the Lost Run basin to buffer numerous AMD sources throughout the basin. Post construction monitoring is being collected at site LR00040. However, no pre-construction data was collected at this site. Therefore, reclamation results for this report are evaluated at the mouth of Lost Run (site LR00020). This site also represents water quality from Lost Run Phase I. For this report, both Phase I and II of Lost Run are evaluated at the mouth of Lost Run at site LR00020. The design was completed by ODNR – DMRM (\$63,979). The treatment consisted of constructing a 7,650 square foot limestone leach bed, installing 1,300 linear feet of limestone channels, 140 linear feet of Limestone J-trenches, 14,250 square ft. of steel slag leach bed, and 197 linear feet of a steel slag berm to add alkalinity to buffer acidity generated in Lost Run. The goal of the design was to reduce acid and metal concentrations discharging into Monday Creek. Construction was complete 6/20/2007 by Stimmel Construction for a cost of \$489,910. One of the planned steel slag berms could not be constructed due to private landowner denying permission. The funding sources for this project were for the design was ODNR-DMRM and for construction was MCRP, ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA 319. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 624 lbs/day of acid and 53 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Monday Creek as a result of Phase I and II of the Lost Run AMD reclamation project.

## Site: LR00020

### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase II

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water samples were collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Lost Run Phase I and II Project, pH and net acidity have improved at the mouth of Lost Run. Pre-construction data shows pH at 3.4 at the mouth of Lost Run. After installation of the Lost Run Phase I and II Project, post-construction data shows pH at 4.17 at the mouth of Lost Run. The net acidity concentration decreased 36% at the mouth of Lost Run.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase II

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge from 3/21/2005 to 6/20/2007 for pre-construction and from 1/1/2008 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction. *Stoertz, Mary W. and Douglas H. Green, 2004. Mean Annual Acidity Load: A Performance Measure to Evaluate Acid Mine Drainage Remediation. Ohio Department of Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration Innovations 2004 Applied Research Conference at Ohio University.*

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction




# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Lost Run Phase II


Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figures 5 and 6 show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figures 5 and 6.

### Figure 5. Yearly Acid Load Reduction



### Figure 6. Yearly Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Shawnee Steel Slag Bed

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Completed 9/23/2008 ODNR Project Number: PR-SL-19

## Pre-construction



Shawnee Waste Water Plant, Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

## Post-construction



Shawnee Waste Water Plant Post construction Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project


Shawnee Steel Slag Bed is located in Section 17 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060020. Shawnee Steel Slag Bed reclamation project consists of constructing a steel slag leach bed at the effluent from the Shawnee waste water treatment plant to add alkalinity to Monday Creek. The design was completed by Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (\$20,000). The treatment consists of one 22,800 square foot steel slag bed, 190 linear feet of open limestone channel, and a sand filter to collect suspended solids and algae before entering the steel slag bed. The goal of the design is to boost net alkalinity on the mainstem to meet an alkalinity target of 30 mg/l and maintain a pH in the 6-9 range for approximately four miles downstream (Figures 1 and 2). Although the goal of this project is to add alkalinity to Monday Creek, reductions in metal loadings were observed (28 lbs/day) (Figure 3). Construction was complete 9/23/2008 by Tucson, Inc, for a cost of \$199,791, but shut down to address an algae and suspended solids problems after approximately one month of being online. To solve the problems, a sand filter and algae removal system were installed October 2009. The systems began discharging treated water into Monday Creek November 2009. The SSLB was shut down during the fall of 2010 to allow for a maintenance project to help repair clogging issues that have occurred in the bed. The maintenance project is proposed to be completed March 31, 2011. The funding sources for this project was ODNR-DMRM for the design and Ohio EPA 319, ODNR-DMRM, and MCRP for construction.

## Site: MC00900

### Pre treatment net alkaline concentration\*




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment net alkaline concentration\*



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Data from the Shawnee Steel Slag Bed Reclamation project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity at project discharge and along the mainstem of Monday Creek, shown above. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.5 to 6.7 and net alkaline conditions in the range of 14 to 19 mg/l at the effluent and downstream of the project on Monday Creek. Post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.8 to 8.4 and net alkaline conditions continue to rise in the range of -27 to -33 mg/l at the effluent and downstream of the Shawnee SLB project, thus meeting the project goal with pH values between 6 and 9 and alkalinity concentrations of 30 mg/l.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH






Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



## Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) metal load reduction occurring at this project was plotted and shown in Figure 3. Iron, aluminum, and discharge were measured pre-construction at the project effluent from 2/04/2008 to 9/23/2008 for pre-construction and from 11/16/2009 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Monday Creek Watershed - Coe Hollow

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 9/6/2010

ODNR Project Number: NA

## Pre-construction



Coe Hollow pre-construction Photo by Mike Nicklow (USFS)

## Post-construction



Coe Hollow Limestone Leach Berms (USFS)  
Photo by Monday Creek Restoration Project

Coe Hollow is located in Section 11 of York Township in Athens County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060030. Coe Hollow reclamation project was a collaboration between the US Forest Service, Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), and the Monday Creek Restoration Project. Coe Hollow is located in the southern part of the Monday Creek Watershed between the city of Nelsonville and the village of Buchtel. While the sub-basin is small (131 acres), approximately 58% of Coe Hollow contains underground-mined areas. Accordingly to the AMDAT report (2005), field reconnaissance performed in 2001 documented numerous slumps on hillsides, dissipating or losing streams, one subsidence hole in the main-stem and north tributary, slumped mine entries, a small gob pile located in and adjacent to the stream channel, as well as several seeps discharging AMD at stream level. The treatment goal for this project was to reduce acid and metal loadings to Monday Creek by at least 80%. The design was completed by Redwing, Wayne National Forest, ODOT, and RJM (\$41,000). The treatment consists of a series of limestone leach ponds (54,320 sq. ft.) between limestone berms (276 linear ft.) with approximately 6 inches of limestone on the bottom, to add alkalinity. Of the 9.2 acres of wetlands constructed, 1.5 acres were constructed downstream from the USFS project to capture precipitate metals, the other 7.7 acres were constructed on the other side of the road and are clean water. Of the 7.7 acres, 3.4 acres are used to supply the 13,608 sq. ft. steel slag leach bed. Two subsidence stream captures were sealed, reconnecting 80 acres of surface drainage back to the receiving stream. Construction was complete 9/6/2010 by DJ Group, Wayne National Forest (\$409,000), and ODOT (\$1,298,466) for a total cost of \$1,707,446. The funding sources for this project were ODOT and USFS. Water quality results will be evaluated in 2011 NPS report.

### **Section III – AMD project reports**

#### **Sunday Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2010.**

*Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.*

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2010 NPS monitoring report:

1. Rodger's Hollow Stream Capture
2. West Branch Headwaters
3. WB 43 stream capture  
Archived
4. Pine Run Stream Capture\* archived in 2009
5. Little Hocking\* archived in 2009
6. Congo Run Stream Capture (CR-15)\* archived in 2009
7. Corning Gob Floodplain\* archived in 2009

\* "Status Completed" projects are no longer being monitored

# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed - Rodgers Hollow Stream Capture

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete: 12/14/2007 ODNR Project Number: PR-Mn-16

## Pre-construction



One of Rodger's Hollow primary stream captures during wet conditions, Photo by Sunday Creek Watershed Group

## Post-construction




Completed natural channel stream, Photo by Kaabe Shaw

Rodger's Hollow Stream Capture is located in Section 17 of Monroe Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The site is located in Congo Run subwatershed north of Drakes. There are two primary and four secondary stream captures. The design was completed by Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott, May Engineers, Inc. for a cost of \$109,725. The treatment approach was to close primary and secondary stream captures and divert the channel, using natural stream design concepts (900 linear feet), away from the existing location which is an unstable abandoned coal pit along a highwall as well as add 879 linear feet of open limestone channels. Currently 1,600 acres (2.5 square miles) of surface water drains into the deep mine complex creating acid mine drainage at down-dip seep discharges in Drakes (WB 49 and 49/36). The goal of the design is to return 100 percent of stream water back into Congo Run thus adding alkalinity to Rodger's Hollow/Congo Run and reducing acid mine discharges in Drakes. Construction was completed December 14, 2007 by Tucson Inc. for \$266,826. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM and construction was OEPA 319. Figures 4 and 5 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 18 lbs/day of acid and 1.2 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering West Branch of Sunday Creek from Drakes seep WB 49 as a result of the subsidence closures in the up-dip adjacent Rodgers Hollow. Reduction of acid and metals from the Drakes wetlands (site 36 and 49/36) has not been documented due to changes in flow route. However, WB 49 is seen as the primary source of AMD in Drakes and has seen a 69% reduction in flow following the subsidence closures. In addition Congo Run the receiving stream from the Rodgers Hollow project as expected has seen, on average, an increase in pH from 6.71 to 6.96, a decrease in net acidity from -39.01 to -47.35 mg/l and an increase in flow from 0.69 to 1.30 cfs.

## Site: WB 49

### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

## Post-Construction Estimated Effects

Expected amount of water to return to the stream and be diverted from entering the deep mine generating acid mine drainage is:

Gallons/yr = 589,290,000

Expected amount of alkalinity loading added to the streams providing buffering capacity to the watershed:

Alkalinity load = 758 lbs/day


## Water quality report

Water quality data was collected at the suspected AMD discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of West Branch in Drakes. This stream was been monitored because of its possible connected to the Rodgers Hollow deep mine where the subsidence closures were constructed.


### Data analysis

Rodgers Hollow subsidence closure project monitoring along the West Branch of Sunday Creek in Drakes show pH and net acidity upstream, at the Drakes Seep WB 49, and along the mainstem of West Branch downstream of the seep discharge. Pre-construction data show pH in the range of 3.8 to 6.95, at the AMD discharge and downstream. Post-construction data show pH in the range of 3.9 to 6.7. Net acidity has decrease at the Drakes Seep by 13% and flow has decreased by 69%.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**




## Water Quality – load reductions


Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- construction at the project discharge from 4/24/2001 to 1/29/2009 for pre-construction and 1/15/2008 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

As expected a substantial difference in discharge has been recorded at the Drakes Seep site WB49 from pre-subsidence closure to post-closure in Rodger’s Hollow. Therefore, when determining the mean annual average discharge for this site for use in the “Mean Annual Acidity Load” calculation, the mean annual discharge was determined separately for each time period (pre-closure 0.16 cfs, post-closure 0.03 cfs).

**Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction**




**Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed - Rodgers Hollow Stream Capture

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
[www.watersheddata.com](http://www.watersheddata.com)



Project Status: Completed Fall 2010 ODNR Project Number: Pr-SI-16




1.3 acre gob pile along West Branch Headwaters  
 Photographer: Dave Agnor, OSM



West Branch Sunday Creek Phase I post reclamation featuring a limestone channel. Photographer: Sunday Creek Watershed Group

West Branch Headwaters Phase I is located in Section 24 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The West Branch Headwaters (WBHW 03) meets with tributary Pine Run to form the West Branch of Sunday Creek at site WB004. The West Branch Headwaters (WBHW) project area consists of several subsidence features, gob and spoil piles (approx. 8 acres), one large deep mine discharge, and several smaller AMD seeps. The treatment approach for this project area has been split into two phases. Phase I will include approximately 2,200 linear feet of limestone channel for new drainage at the four subsidence features, additional earthwork will reclaim one acre of gob, seven acres of spoil, two acres of pit impoundments, and 1,200 linear feet of highwall. The design was completed in-house by ODNR-DMRM. The goal of the design for phase I will reduce the amount of AMD being produced while the final phase will add alkalinity and reduce acidity being discharged at the five AMD discharge locations. Construction completed 2010 by McMillan Inc. for a cost of \$270,161.20. The funding sources for this project are ODNR/DMRM for the design and OSM Clean Streams and OEPA 319 grant for construction. Post-construction acid and metal loads will be evaluated in the 2011 report.





### Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge.

#### Data analysis

West Branch Headwaters Phase I pre-construction monitoring was conducted for pH and net acidity upstream of the project area and along the mainstem of the West Branch Headwaters downstream of the project. Pre-construction data show pH at the project effluent as 5.3. Post-construction at the project effluent show pH value of 5.66.



# 2010 NPS Report - Sunday Creek Watershed - West Branch 43 Stream Capture

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Completed 2010 ODNR Project Number: Pr-Mn-18

## Pre-construction



Sunday Creek West Branch WB 43 stream capture at highwall  
Photographer: Bill Jonard

## Post-construction





WB 43 site of closed primary stream capture  
Photographer: Sunday Creek Watershed Group

West Branch 43 stream capture is located in Southwest corner of Section 19 of Monroe Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The site is located in a unnamed tributary draining to West Branch of Sunday Creek just east of the village of Hemlock. WB 43 subsidence hole is located on the edge of a surface mine and corresponds to underlying deep mine PY-48. The site captures a drainage area of 0.11 square miles (65 acres). The treatment approach was to re-establish the drainage channel that discharged into a final pit area and then into the abandoned deep mine complex. Seal off the deep mine access area at the highwall and backfill as much of highwall as possible. Construction a new channel to deliver water from the sealed mining area to West Branch. The treatment consisted of 300 linear feet of limestone channel, 288 linear feet of grass-lined channel, 3 acres surface reclamation, and 64 acres of restored stream capture area. The goal of the design was to eliminate net alkaline water from entering the abandoned mine complex and re-connect the stream to West Branch Sunday Creek, add alkalinity. Construction was complete in September 2010 by Dirt Works Express for a cost of \$45,536. The funding sources for this project were ODNR/DMRM AML set-aside funds.

## Post-construction Estimated Effects

**Expected amount of water to return  
gallons/yr = 26,000,000**



### **Section III – AMD project reports**

#### **Huff Run Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2010.**

*Section III for the Huff Run Watershed contains, in addition to the individual AMD project, one comprehensive report listing completed AMD projects; displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data change at the mouth of Huff Run (station HRR08/HR 32) and the impact of all the reclamation projects from the period 1976-1997 for pre-construction to 1997-2010 for post construction. Acidity and pH graphs have been generated for all completed projects. Acid and metal load reductions were calculated with limited data for: Linden, Lindentree, Lyons, Farr, Acid Pit#1, Harsha, Fern Hill HR-42 (pits A, B, C), Thomas, and Belden. Mineral Zoar lacks discharge data to generate the acid and metal load reductions, due to the nature of the site.*

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2010 NPS monitoring report:

1. Farr project
2. Linden Bioremediation project
3. Acid Pit #1 (Phase I ) project
4. Lindentree project
5. Harsha North project
6. Lyons project
7. Fern-Hill HR-42 project + Pond A
8. Belden project + Belden Gob Pile
9. Thomas project
10. Mineral Zoar Road AMD project  
Archived
11. Huff Run AML project\*


\* “Status Completed” projects are no longer being monitored

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Overview

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: All completed projects since 1999

Huff Run is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County and Rose Township in Carroll County. The watershed has a 14 square mile drainage area and flows ten miles long before discharging into Conotton Creek. The completed projects in Huff Run are evaluated collectively at the mouth of Huff Run (Station HRR08/HR 32). Since 1999, 13 projects have been completed and are shown on the following pages. The designs and construction were completed by a variety of companies. The funding sources for these projects for both design and construction were ODNR-DMRM, Ohio EPA 319, and OSM Clean Streams. Figure 3 and 4, estimate that approximately 81 lbs/day of acid and 124 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering Huff Run as a result of these AMD reclamation projects.



## List of construction projects completed since 1999:

1. Huff Run AML 1999 "status complete"
2. Farr 2003
3. Linden Bioremediation 2003
4. Acid Pit #1 2004
5. Lindentree 2005
6. Lyons 2005
7. Harsha North 2006
8. Fern Hill 2008 + HR-42 pond A 2010
9. Belden 2008 + Belden Gob Pile 2010
10. Mineral Zoar 2009
11. Thomas 2009

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Overview

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected along the mainstem at long-term monitoring stations during pre- and post- construction conditions. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of Huff Run. Changes between the pre- and post- conditions are attributed to the completed AMD reclamation projects.

As a result of these projects completed in Huff Run Watershed, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation sites for 5 miles to the mouth. Pre-construction data shows average pH in the range of 4.5 – 7.0 along the mainstem. However after the completion of 13 major AMD reclamation projects, post-construction data shows average pH in the range of 6.4 – 7.1. The net acidity concentrations decreased resulting in net alkaline conditions the entire length of Huff Run, 10 miles.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Overview

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project (site HRR08) were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1985 to 1997 for pre-construction and from 1998 – 2010 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Farr Project

*Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com*

**Project Status: Complete 2003 Project Number: TS-SN-06 and TS-SN-20**

**Site: Pre FAR01/02, Post FAR09**

## Pre-construction



*Farr AMD discharge pre-construction  
Photo by Huff Run Watershed*

## Post-construction



*View from finishing cells looking upstream  
Photo by Douglas Leed*

The Farr Project is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The site is located at the open limestone channel before entering Huff Run. The Farr Project discharges into Huff Run at river mile 1.0. This area was affected by unreclaimed gob piles and an impoundment fed by deep mine discharge. The design was completed by Gannett Fleming for \$30,976. The treatment approach was to passively treat deep mine discharge with an anoxic limestone system. The treatment consisted of installing 500 linear feet of limestone channels, a 10,000 cubic foot anoxic limestone drain, a 0.5 acre wetland and complete 1.2 acres of surface reclamation. The goal of the design was to reduce high metals from deep mine discharges to the mainstem of Huff Run. Construction was complete May 2003 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$150,000. Problems with the construction were unexpected high flows versus design flow of system, inadequate retention in system, continue high metal output, limited space for reconstruction or improvements. The funding sources for this project were, ODNR-DMRM for the design and for construction was OSM Clean Streams, ODNR/DMRM and Ohio EPA. Pre-construction is not available for this site. However post-construction data has been collected since 2004. Figures 3 and 4 show yearly acid to metal loads measured at site FAR09.



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Farr Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Farr Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 1.0 mile. Pre-construction data shows pH range of 5.25-5.97 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Farr Project, post-construction data shows pH range of 6.3-6.45 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge and downstream on Huff Run.




# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Farr Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com


## Water quality – acid and metal load reduction

Similar to other environmental best management practices (BMPs), performance of acid mine drainage reclamation projects are also expected to decline with time. Currently, operation and maintenance plans are being designed for each existing system and for future projects. Figures 3 and 4, show the mean annual acid and metal load reduction (Stoertz, 2004) for each year (or group of years) during post-construction from the project effluent. These graphs show the rate of decline (and/or improvement) with time in the performance of the treatment system. Knowing this rate of decline will aid in the implementation of operation and maintenance plans for each site. Yearly load reductions are plotted and shown in Figures 3 and 4.

**Figure 3. Yearly Acid Load Reduction**



**Figure 4. Yearly Metal Load Reduction**



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Linden Bioremediation Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2003 Project Number: CR-RS-04

## Pre-construction



Farr AMD discharge pre-construction, Photo by Huff Run Watershed

## Post-construction



Huff Run Awareness Day 2003, Photo by Huff Run Watershed

Linden Bioremediation Project is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The Linden project discharges into Huff Run near river mile 4.6. The Linden project consists of treating a deep mine discharge by directing the water sequentially through a flow control system with a wetland to reduce metal concentrations and provides microbial nutrients. This water then flows through an inoculated Pyrolusite limestone treatment bed, discharge structures, and diversion ditches, before being discharged to the receiving stream. The design was completed by Office of Surface Mining (OSM) engineers at no cost. The treatment consisted of installing a 0.5 acre Pyrolusite limestone bioremediation treatment bed and a 0.3 acre passive wetland. The goal of the design was to generate alkalinity in the upper reaches of Huff Run, with the potential for reduction in metals requiring low maintenance. Construction was complete June 16, 2003 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$321,619. Problems encountered included complications with the bedrock for liner installation, unknown pre-existing gas well in location of treatment bed, and high expense of the inoculant. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA, OSM, and ODNR/DMRM. Figure 3 & 4 (shown page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 16.98 lbs/day of acid and 4.8 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Huff Run.

### SITE: PRE LIN01, POST LIN08



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Linden Bioremediation Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data Analysis

As a result of the Linden Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 0.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.34 – 6.26 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Linden Bioremediation Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.9 -7.17 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



## Water quality – acid and metal load reduction

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 6/17/1998 to 6/21/1999 for pre-construction and from 8/4/2005 – 12/31/2010 for post-construction. Post-construction data with discharge measurements was limited for this site (n=6), all sampling events occurred during base to low flow. No sampling events were measured greater than the mean annual discharge.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - HRWRP Acid Pit #1 Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2004 Project Number: TS-SN-28

## Pre-construction



Acid pit completed project Photo by Jim Gue


## Post-construction




Acid Pit #1 is located in Rose and Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The site is located at the effluent from Acid Pit #1. The Acid Pit #1 discharges into Huff Run at river mile 3.78. The design was completed by Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management for a cost of \$14,000. The treatment approach was to eliminate the acid-filled impoundments, reclaim the mine spoil, eliminate the recharge through the spoil and provide positive drainage. The treatment consisted of installing 2000 linear feet of limestone channels and reclaim 15 acres of gob spoil. The goal of the design was to eliminate the and recharge of extremely acidic water through spoil material and draining into the mainstem Huff Run. Construction was complete March 2004 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$150,000. The problem encountered during construction was the lack of solid base (underclay), to effectively place underdrains for subsurface collection of mine drainage flows. The funding sources for this project were for the design was ODNR-DMRM and for construction was OSM Clean Streams, ODNR/DMRM.

## SITE: ACP01


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - HRWRP Acid Pit #1 Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data Analysis

As a result of the Acid pit #1 Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 2.4 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.38–5.8 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Acid Pit #1 Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.5-6.6 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 35% at the project discharge.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lindentree Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2005 Project Number: CR-RS-07

## Pre-construction



One of four acidic ponds on project site, Photo by Jim Gue

## Post-construction




Lindentree reclamation area, Photo by Maureen Wise

The Lindentree Project is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The Lindentree project discharges into Huff Run near river mile 5.0 and consists of many acidic ponds, high walls, and exposed gob piles. Baker Consulting completed the design for a cost of \$60,240. The treatment approach consisted of filling the acid pits, raising alkalinity with the use of steel slag, and 100 linear feet of limestone channels. The goal of the design was to reduce acid infiltration from old impoundments, introduce alkaline recharge with steel slag and open limestone channels to upstream reaches of Huff Run. Construction was complete February 17, 2005 by Monarelli for a cost of \$210,000. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA and ODNR/DMRM. Figure 3 & 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 65 lbs/day of acid and 0.28 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Huff Run (minimum metal load at this site pre-construction).

## SITE: PRE HRT06, POST LNT01


### Pre treatment acid load




### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lindentree Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com


## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.


### Data analysis

As a result of the Linden Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 0.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.1 – 6.34 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Lindentree Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.78-7.17 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lindentree Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality – acid and metal load reduction

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 2/11/1997 to 3/1/1999 for pre-construction and from 8/4/2005 – 12/31/2010 for post-construction. Pre-construction data with discharge measurements were limited for this site (pre n=2), post construction sampling events all were sampled during low to base flow.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Harsha North Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2006 Project Number: CR-RS-06

SITE ID: HAN03

## Pre-construction



## Post-construction




Harsha North spoil and gob pile, Photo by Jim Gue

Harsha North is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The sample site is located at the effluent from the Harsha North project. Harsha North discharges into Huff Run at river mile 4.19. The site was primarily toxic coal refuse piles and highwalls along with areas affected by deep mine drainage and unreclaimed contour surface mines. The treatment approach was to eliminate discrete acid mine drainage sources via open limestone channels and surface reclamation of acid-forming and refuse material. Major considerations were to collect diffuse acid seeps and discharges and direct them through constructed open limestone channels. The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$106,909. The treatment consisted of 22.2 acres of surface reclamation, 4,725 linear feet of limestone J-trenches, and reclaiming a 6-acre gob pile. The goal of the design was to reduce diffuse seeps to a concentrated location via open limestone channels for future passive treatment if necessary, neutralize deep mine discharges with alkaline limestone channels, and add alkalinity to streamflow. Construction was complete September 2006 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of \$686,186. Problems encountered were concerns with intended borrow/resoil material. The funding sources for this project were ODNR/DMRM, for the design, and ODNR-DMRM, 319 OEPA grant, and OSM Clean Streams grant for construction. Figures 3 and 4, estimate approximately 110 lbs/day of acid and 7 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering Huff Run.

## SITE: HAN03 pre HAN05 post


### Pre treatment acid load



### Pre treatment metal load



### Post treatment acid load



### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Harsha North Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data Analysis

As a result of the Harsha North Project, pH and net acidity have improved at the project discharge and downstream. Pre-construction data shows pH at the project discharge at an average 3.78. After installation of the Harsha North Project, post-construction data shows an average pH of 6.6. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge. Post construction measurements were measured at site HAN05.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Harsha North Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figures 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum, and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 8/15/1996 to 3/2/1999 for pre-construction (site HAN03) and 7/9/2009 to 11/1/2010 for post-construction (site HAN05).

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lyons Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2005 Project Number: TS-SN-3

## Pre-construction



Overview of gob on the project site, Photo by Brent Miller

## Post-construction




After construction major outlet, Photo by Jim Gue

Lyons is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The project site is 35 acres. Lyons discharges into Huff Run at river mile 1.90. The Lyons site was one of the highest contributors of AMD within the lower reaches of the watershed. The AMD problems were caused by unvegetated coal refuse, highwalls, acid pits and exposed spoil. The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$53,335. The treatment approach was to reclaim eroding mine spoils, eliminate acid impoundments, install alkaline recharge with steel slag berms and open limestone channels. The treatment consisted of installing 3,000 linear feet of limestone channels and 1,500 linear feet of steel slag channel and reclaim a 15 acre of gob pile and 5 acres of surface reclamation. The goal of the design was to eliminate eroding acid spoils and impoundments, generate alkalinity to deep mine pools, decrease AMD discharges and neutralize acidic discharges prior to draining into the mainstem. Construction was complete December 2005 Malcuit for a cost of \$794,030. Problems with the construction were placement of underdrain tiles to effectively collect subsurface flows to constructed OLC/steel slag channels. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA and ODNR/MRM. Figure 3 & 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 47 lbs/day of acid were reduced from entering into Huff Run. No reduction in metals were measured, yet an 27 lbs/day increase has been documented.

### SITE: LYN01


#### Pre treatment acid load




#### Pre treatment metal load



#### Post treatment acid load



#### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lyons Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Lyons Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 1.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.3 – 6.69 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Lyons Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.14-6.96 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 33% at the project discharge.



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Lyons Project

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 8/25/76 to 6/21/1999 for pre-construction and from 1/4/2006 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Fern Hill HR-42 Pits A, B, & C

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2008 Project Number: CR-RS-15 & CR-RS-21

## Pre-construction



AMD plume, Photo by Maureen Wise

## Post-construction




Caption: Fern Hill AMD project entrance, Photographer: Linda March


Fern Hill is located in Section 27 and 33 of Rose Township in Carroll County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05040001080050. Fern Hill site FRN01, discharges into Huff Run at river mile 4.9. Fern Hill HR-42 consists of a few acid pits and a large AMD plume that sits directly beside Huff Run and discharges AMD directly into Huff Run. The treatment approach was to reclaim three acidic ponds that were situated up-dip from the AMD plume on the site through basic surface reclamation and open limestone channels. Reclamation of these impoundments is believed to have diminished flow to the seep at the base of the hill. Figures 3 & 4 estimate approximately 516 lbs/day of acid were reduced from entering into Huff Run. No reduction in metals were measured. The design was completed in-house by ODNR-DMRM. The treatment consisted of 6.0 acres of surface reclamation reclaiming a small gob pile (1 ac.), small settling pond (200 sq. ft) and 500 linear feet of limestone channels. The goal of the design was to reduce flow of the underground mine seep and reduce acidity and metal loadings to Huff Run. Construction of first two pits was complete October 2008 by Malcuit for a cost of \$106,573.75. Construction of the final pit (pond A, "June's Pond") was completed late 2010 by Red Malcuit for a cost of \$69,754. The funding sources for this project were ODNR/DMRM for the design and OSM Clean Streams (strip pit B & C) and ODNR-DMRM for construction (strip pit A).

### SITE: FRN01

#### Pre treatment acid load



#### Post treatment acid load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).


## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.


### Data Analysis

Fern Hill HR-42 Project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity upstream, at the project discharge and along the mainstem of Huff Run downstream of the project. Pre-construction data show pH in the range of 4.7 to 6.9, at the project discharge and downstream. Post-construction data show pH in the range of 5.6 to 7.0. Acidity concentrations decreased by 41% at the project discharge site FRN01. This project needs post construction discharge measurements at site FRN01 to show acid and metal load reductions.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**



**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Belden

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Complete 2008 Project Number: CR-RS-10

## Pre-construction



Gob pile with impounded acidic water,  
Photo by Huff Run Watershed

Belden is located in Section 33 of Rose Township in Carroll County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05040001080050. Belden site BLD01, discharges into Huff Run at river mile 4.5. The Belden site consists of large gob piles, exposed toxic clay, and strip pits north of the former Kopp Clay Plant. These sources contributed to the degradation of a 20-acre area in the Huff Run watershed. The treatment approach was to conduct surface reclamation, install steel slag beds to boost alkalinity, and install a sediment pond to allow metals to precipitate. The design was completed by ATC Associates for \$123,000. The treatment consisted of 4.0 acres of surface reclamation, 10 acres of gob pile reclamation, install 9,600 square foot steel slag leach bed and a 7 acre settling pond. The goal of the design was to boost alkalinity and reduce iron metals and acidity from entering Huff Run. Construction was complete December 2008 by Tuscon for a cost of \$688,330.25. The funding sources for this project were ODNR/DMRM for the design and USEPA Targeted Watershed Grant and ODNR-DMRM for construction. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimates approximately 116 lbs/day of acid were reduced from entering into Huff run and 5 lbs/day of metals. The Belden Gob Pile is an addition to the Belden Project and was reclaimed in just two weeks over the summer of 2010. The project footprint was less than one acre. Twelve oak trees were planted on the crown of the hill at the request of the landowner. Less than 100 feet of limestone


## Post-construction



Caption: Steel Slag Bed #3, Photographer: Maureen Wise

### SITE: BLD01


#### Pre treatment acid load




#### Pre treatment metal load



#### Post treatment acid load



#### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

channel convey water at the base of the hill. The total project cost was just \$12,000 and was constructed by Red Malcuit. The design for this project was engineered by ODNR-DMRM. The project was funded solely by ODNR-DMRM.

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Belden

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project, as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data Analysis

Belden Project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity upstream, at the project discharge and along the mainstem of Huff Run downstream of the project. Pre-construction data show pH in the range of 3.4 to 6.7, at the project discharge and downstream. Post-construction data show pH in the range of 5.8 to 7.0. Acidity concentrations decreased by 80% at the project discharge site BLD01.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Belden

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 8/1/1985 to 10/7/2008 for pre-construction and from 1/12/2009 to 12/31/2010 for post-construction. Post construction sampling events all were sampled during low to base-flow.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Thomas

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project Status: Expected Completion 2010 Project Number: CR-RS-08

## Pre-construction



Southern area with large beaver ponds, Photo by Maureen Wise

## Post-construction



Site two settling pond, Photo by Paul Greco

Thomas reclamation project is located in Section 33 of Rose Township in Carroll County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05040001080050. Thomas reclamation project discharge is site THM01. The Thomas site consists of approximately 20 acres of surface mine water impoundments and toxic mine spoil. The impoundments are recharging a shallow deep mine, allowing for metals such as iron to flow into Huff Run. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM in-house for a cost of \$60,620. Plans for reclamation include surface mine and gob pile reclamation, limestone channels, and two settling ponds. The project goal will be evaluated in 2010 annual report after construction is complete. Construction is expected to be complete in 2010 by Red Malcuit Inc. for a cost of \$495,000. Funding source for the project design and construction is ODNR-DMRM, OEPA 319, and OSM. Thomas project contains two separate discharge points, LIN01 and THM01/THM06 both discharge/monitoring locations contain settling ponds. The site LIN01 shows acid and metal reductions, while site THM01/THM06 shows only minor metal reduction since the site was net alkaline and low metals prior to construction. Figures 3 & 4, estimate approximately 31 lbs/day of

### SITE: LIN01 & THM01/THM06

#### Pre treatment acid load




#### Pre treatment metal load



#### Post treatment acid load



#### Post treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

acid and 5 lbs/day of metal load reduction from site LIN01 and 1 lbs/day reduction in metal loads.

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Thomas



Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data analysis

Thomas reclamation project monitoring show pH and net acidity at two discharge sites THM01 and LIN01 (Figures 1 and 2). Pre-construction data shows average pH of 6.73 and net alkaline at site THM01 before discharging into Huff Run and average a pH of 5.67 and net acidity value of 12.59mg/l at discharge site LIN01. Post-construction data at THM06 has an average pH of 7.25 and net alkaline (-163 mg/l) and at site LIN01, pH was 6.5 and net alkaline (-30 mg/l).



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Thomas

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figures 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum, and discharge were measured pre-construction at the project effluent LIN01 and THM01 from 6/17/1998 to 6/15/2009 for pre-construction and from 4/14/2010 to 11/16/2010 for post-construction at site LIN01 and THM06.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Mineral Zoar

*Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com*

**Project Status: completed November 2009 Project Number: TS-Sn-23**

## Pre-construction



*Future Reserve Alkaline Producing System and Aerobic Wetland site, Photograph by Maureen Wise*

## Post-construction



*Mineral Zoar Overview and Aerobic Wetland Photograph by Maureen Wise*

Mineral Zoar is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The Mineral Zoar project discharge, site MZR08, is located under the railroad bed at the Mineral Zoar Road final project exit point. Mineral Zoar is the largest tributary to Huff Run and runs through the Mineral City Park. The project consists of two deep mines that discharge acidic water. The design was completed by Baker Consulting and ODNR in-house for \$53,780. The treatment approach for this site is to treat the two deep mines with a reverse alkaline producing system (RAPS) (1,400 sq. ft) while utilizing an existing wetland and 100 linear feet of open limestone channels. The goal of the design is to reduce 100% of the acidity on site. Construction was complete Fall 2009 by Beaver Consulting for a cost of \$335,086. Funding source for the project design and construction is ODNR-DMRM and OSM.

# 2010 NPS Report - Huff Run Watershed - Mineral Zoar

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

### Data analysis

Very limited data is available for the Mineral Zoar project prior to construction. Values of pH and net acidity were monitored post construction and show a pH of 6.52 at the project discharge from Mineral Zoar project area, site MZR08. The net acidity concentration at site MZR08 is net alkaline with a value of -23.84 mg/l. Future data results can be monitored through time for changes, unfortunately pre-construction versus post-construction analysis can't be completed given the lack of pre-construction data. No discharge off the site is monitored.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH





Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity



### **Section III – AMD project reports**

#### **Leading Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2010.**

*Section III contains Leading Creek's first AMD funded project report displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, and initial water quality data. After reclamation this report will display, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.*

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported in the 2010 NPS monitoring report:


1. Thomas Fork Doser

# 2010 NPS Report - Leading Creek Watershed - Thomas Fork Doser

Generated by Non-Point Source Monitoring System  
www.watersheddata.com

Project status: Funded, expected completion Fall 2011 ODNR project number: Mg-Sb-83


## Pre-construction



Caption: Confluence of the 'Unnamed Tributary' and Thomas Fork  
Photo by Meigs SWCD Leading Creek Watershed

## Site: TF1502

### Pre treatment acid load



### Pre treatment metal load



Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004).

Thomas Fork Doser Project located in Fractional Section 24 of Meigs County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030202090060. The project site, 0.25 acres, is located in the Thomas Fork Subwatershed of the Leading Creek Watershed and is in its pre-construction phase. The majority of AMD in the Thomas Fork Subwatershed originates from seven above drainage mines as well as from: sub-surface mine drains, two buried / clogged drains, and two seeps draining into Thomas Fork's 15th tributary, 'The Unnamed Tributary'. The primary purpose for remediation of this tributary is the detrimental effects its level of acidity and heavy metals has on Thomas Fork's aquatic life. Project goals include restoration of aquatic communities in Thomas Fork, but do not include improvements to the 'Unnamed Tributary'. Restoration consists of constructing an active treatment calcium oxide doser to treat all the acidity produced in 'The Unnamed Tributary' by determining required lime material based on water quality monitored at the mouth (TF1502). The design was completed by ODNR staff, and the pre-bid for the project will be held on May 11, 2011, with an engineer's estimate of \$355,316. Construction is anticipated to begin by early September, with the completion date set for November 2011. After construction and monitoring are complete the acid and metal reduction will be reported in the 2011 annual report. Funding sources for the construction of this project are ODNR-DMRM and the US Fish and Wildlife Service. Figure 3 and 4 estimate approximately 643 lbs/day of acid and 152 lbs/day of metal loadings entering Thomas Fork from the 'Unnamed Tributary' during pre-construction. Reduction rates will be evaluated in 2011 and 2012.


## Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show pH (Figure 1) and net acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream, Thomas Fork upstream and downstream of the project discharge (TF1502) during pre-construction 2003-2011.


### Data analysis

Data collected during pre-construction, 2003-2001, shows an average pH value of 3.1 at the mouth of the 'Unnamed Tributary', site TF1502. Downstream of the confluence with the 'Unnamed Tributary' along Thomas Fork, average pre-construction pH is in the range of 4.1 to 6.1. Thomas Fork is net alkaline upstream of the confluence with the 'Unnamed Tributary' however is net acidic downstream and continues to be net-acidic for approximately six miles until the mouth of Thomas Fork, which is only slightly net alkaline during this pre-construction time period.

**Figure 1. Pre pH**



**Figure 2. Pre Acidity**



## Water Quality - Load Reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal average annual loadings occurring at this project, site TF1502, were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum, and discharge were measured pre- construction at the project discharge from 6/9/03 to 3/28/11 for pre-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction





Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction



## References

Johnson, Kelly, 2009. Personal Communications, Ohio University Biological Sciences

Kinney, Chad, 2006. A Comparison of Two Methods of Bioassessment in Streams. Master Thesis at Ohio University.

Kinney, Chad, and Ben McCament, 2010. Screening Guidelines for the Identification of Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) Impaired Watersheds and for Acid Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plan Selection and Prioritization. Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-DMRM) Guidance Document

Stoertz, Mary W. and Douglas H. Green, 2004. Mean Annual Acidity Load: A Performance Measure to Evaluate Acid Mine Drainage Remediation. Ohio Department of Natural Resources Conservation and Restoration Innovations 2004 Applied Research Conference at Ohio University

US Geological Survey (USGS), 2001. *Techniques for estimating selected streamflow characteristics of Rural, unregulated streams in Ohio*. Water-resources investigation report 02-4068. Columbus Ohio.

US Geological Survey (USGS) StreamStats website – flow characteristics  
<http://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats> version 2