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Abstract

The Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University has created an evaluation system to track changes in chemical and biological data for the following watersheds: Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Raccoon Creek, and Huff Run. The annual monitoring and reporting system was developed for Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-DMRM) in 2005 to track progress towards the targets of the state’s 2005 NonPoint Source (NPS) management plan for acid mine drainage (AMD). The overall goal of the NPS management plan for AMD is to by 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warmwater Habitat (WWH).

The NPS annual reporting website (www.watersheddata.com) integrates water quality and biology data from watershed groups’ online ArcIMS database with project status details including: maps, graphs, charts, photos, and printable reports to address the progress with respect to AMD treatment and reclamation. Water-quality and biology trends are compared through time at long-term monitoring stations and acid load reductions are measured at AMD reclamation project discharges. Incremental changes in pH, acidity, fish abundance and diversity are reported downstream of AMD reclamation projects at identified river mile markers.

Total number of stream miles impaired by acid mine drainage at baseline condition (1994-2001) is 341, to reach the NPS goal for mining issues, 102 (30% of 341) stream miles need to meet Full Warmwater Habitat status by 2010. As of 2005, 23.3 stream miles of the 175 miles accessed met Full attainment of the Warmwater Habitat Status. In addition to tracking the overall NPS goal, smaller incremental water-quality changes were also tracked, pH values show 85 miles of stream improved from not meeting the pH 6.5 water quality standard during the baseline time period to meeting in 2005.

Continual tracking of pH, acidity, and biological indicator MAIS were evaluated during 2006 and 2007. Incremental changes from year to year can be tracked using these
indicators. Acidity and pH values improved during 2006 and 2007, pH show 88 miles of stream meet the pH 6.5 water quality target in 2006 and 98 miles in 2007. The biological indicator, MAIS, were measured in 2005, 2006, and 2007, there were slight increases and decreases seen with each watershed. Monday Creek mainstem and Little Raccoon Creek demonstrated improvement in the MAIS score during this time period. Little Raccoon Creek showed the most improvement with the last eighteen miles of stream meeting the biocriterion target of twelve.

Introduction

The Nonpoint Source (NPS) Monitoring Project was created by the Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs at Ohio University in 2005 and funded by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Mineral Resources Management (ODNR-MRM). This project was developed to address the targets set forth for Abandoned Mine Drainage in the State of Ohio’s Non Point Source (NPS) Management Plan 2005-2010. Abandoned Mine Drainage is one of the six NPS pollutants listed as a key issue to address in Ohio to improve water quality.

The number one existing target in Ohio’s NPS management plan for AMD is, “By 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warm water Habitat (WWH) aquatic life uses through increasing pH, decreasing metals and sediment loading, and minimizing degradation of primary headwater habitat.” Three sub-targets have been developed to aid in addressing the overarching existing target:

1. By 2010, 20 completed and federally approved Abandoned Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plans for acid mine drainage (AMD) impaired watersheds.
2. By 2010, 10 AMD impaired watersheds have implemented some or all of the reclamation actions recommended in the endorsed AMDAT.
3. By 2006, report annually on a comparison between acidity and pH concentrations upstream and downstream of AMD project sites and long-
term monitoring stations, as compared to acidity and pH reference sites within the Western Allegheny Plateau Ecoregion.

As a result of the NPS Monitoring Project funded by ODNR-MRM, an on-line reporting system, www.watersheddata.com, has been created to track environmental changes in four watersheds: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run. These four watersheds represent where active AMD reclamation is occurring. Chemical water quality and biological data trends have been evaluated at the AMD project level, watershed level, and collectively to address the targets described above for the State’s NPS management plan.

This website provides a center repository of information relating to the AMD targets listed in the State’s NPS Management Plan 2005-2010, entry forms for AMD projects, downloadable reports on AMD projects, Watersheds, and NPS management plan targets, and ArcIMS database systems; where water quality and biology data can be viewed, entered, edited, mapped and downloaded for each of the four watersheds.

**Reports**

The NPS monitoring reporting system (www.watersheddata.com) provides four levels of reports: The first level, found in Section I, reports on progress toward the State’s NPS management plan target goals, Level 2 reports, found in Section II, provides a comprehensive watershed level report showing accumulative chemical and biological effects from mining reclamation, Level 3 reports, found in Section III, lists a summary report of each individual acid mine drainage reclamation project detailing project specifics (i.e. load reductions, costs, etc...), and Level 4 reports, found in Section IV, shows the AMD project form report showing the raw data collected from watershed groups through the website using the NPS entry form report for 2007.
Section I – NPS target and goals

Section I contains an evaluation of four watersheds: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek and Huff Run with respect to meeting the State’s NPS management target and goals.

To address the overarching number one target of the State’s Nonpoint Source Pollution management plan relating to acid mine drainage, the following activities were conducted. Baseline condition for water quality and biology were established for four watersheds where active reclamation projects are occurring; Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run. Each of these watersheds has had extensive biological and chemical evaluations conducted by the Ohio EPA during different years. Sunday and Monday Creek’s baseline conditions were derived from the 2001 TMDL biological data collected. Huff Run’s baseline condition was taken from the Ohio EPA 1997 sampling event. Raccoon Creek’s baseline condition, being the largest of the four watersheds, was derived from various sources (Ohio EPA and USGS) during the period of 1994-2000.

From the baseline biological data, stream miles were tallied for mining impaired streams to estimate a number of stream that are impacted by abandoned mining. Of the 762.8 named streams in these four watershed 569.08 miles were assessed during the baseline period (1994-2001) and was determined that 341 miles are impacted by abandoned mining practices. Therefore to set a numeric stream mile attainment goal according to the Target #1 described in the State’s NPS management plan, 102 stream miles (30% of 341 stream miles) is the goal for these four watersheds to restore to WWH.
Target #1: “By 2010, 30% of known acid mine impaired streams are in attainment with Warm Water Habitat (WWH) aquatic life uses through increasing pH, decreasing metals and sediment loading, and minimizing degradation of primary headwater habitat.”

Water quality stations were analyzed in 2005 and 2006 for biology in Sunday Creek, Monday Creek, Raccoon Creek, and Huff Run watersheds. The total number of AMD stream miles evaluated was 175 in 2005 and 72 in 2006. Comparing the same stream segments from baseline to 2005–2006 shows the change in stream use attainment and narrative conditions, from a biologists’ perspective (these changes are not official use attainment status changes made by the Ohio EPA). The biological condition of 23.3 stream miles changed from Non-supportive and Partial attainment to Full WWH use attainment. Although, this number is the ultimate number that is tracked in terms of the NPS management plan Target #1, there are many other significant incremental changes. These changes are tracked and described in this report; for example, attainment use changes from Non-supportive to Partial attainment, narrative description changes, acid and metal loading reductions, pH and acidity improvements, and increases in number of fish and diversity. These incremental changes may not allow a stream segment to change use attainment status, but they do track progress toward the overarching goal and therefore have been tracked at the acid mine drainage project level reports and at the watershed level reports. Biological attainment status based on macroinvertebrate (ICI) and fish (IBI) data at the 60 sampling stations within these four watersheds, will not be collected again until 2010.
Table 1. Summary of the NPS targets for each of the four watersheds evaluated in 2005 to 2007: Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, and Huff Run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed</th>
<th>Total number of completed projects</th>
<th>Total costs</th>
<th>Total acid load reduction lbs/day</th>
<th>Total stream miles improved in 2005 to meet WWH Full attainment</th>
<th>Goal of number of stream miles to meet WWH Full attainment by 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raccoon Creek</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$7,674,855</td>
<td>4,706 **</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday Creek</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$3,189,226</td>
<td>2,706</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Creek</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$652,180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huff Run</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2,577,295*</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,093,556</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,495</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>102.97</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*excludes Linden, and Huff Run AML project design costs and Huff Run AML construction costs.

**Salem Rd/Middleton Project evaluated at the three separate treatment components
Sub-Target 1: “By 2010, 20 completed and federally approved Abandoned Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) Plans for AMD impaired watersheds”.

- Eleven Acid Mine Drainage Abatement and Treatment (AMDAT) plans have been completed (Map 1): Huff Run, Moxahala Creek, Sunday Creek, Monday Creek, Federal Creek, Raccoon Creek Headwaters, Middle Basin Raccoon Creek, Little Raccoon Creek, Leading Creek, Robinson Run, and Yellow Creek.

- One AMDAT plan is currently in progress: Upper Rush Creek (Map 1).

- To address sub-target 1, “complete 20 AMDAT plans by the year 2010”, 11 watersheds have been selected as priority watersheds to write an AMDAT plan. These 11 watersheds were selected from a list of approximately 20 mining impacted watersheds in Ohio that were identified from these various sources; OEPA 2004 and 2006 integrated report, ODNR-MRM 2002 map showing impairment magnitudes from mining, ODNR’s 1974 Land Reborn report (high and medium priority), and OEPA TMDL reports. In order to prioritize these 11 watersheds as candidates for the AMDAT plan, water quality data and information from ODNR-DMRM project officers, Ohio EPA surface water quality database, Ohio EPA TMDL personnel, and US Forest Service personnel were used to determine the extent of mining and its need for further study. Table 2, summarizes this information (page 4 & 5).
Table 2. Supporting information to determine AMDAT plan needs in Ohio watersheds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed Name</th>
<th>Personal contact information</th>
<th>Water quality data and source</th>
<th>Recommended for an AMDAT plan (Y or N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duck Creek</td>
<td>Bill Jonard (ODNR-DMRM) - Sediment issues present not acid mine drainage issues.</td>
<td>184 acres of strip mine land</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wills Creek</td>
<td>Ed Rankin and Chris Yoder biology data indicated AMD stressors in Buffalo Creek</td>
<td>OEPA Database: 1999 showed high conductivity in Buffalo Fork, Leatherwood Creek, Rannells Creek, Miller Creek, and Collins Fork no pH values were collected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captina Creek</td>
<td>Mike Mozena (ODNR-DMRM) recommended for an AMDAT plan</td>
<td>OEPA database: as of 2000 pH values meeting standards</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>OEPA database: no pH values collected, sporadic conductivity ranges</td>
<td>Need more data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Beaver Creek</td>
<td>Cheryl Socotch (ODNR-DMRM) recommended for an AMDAT plan</td>
<td>OEPA Database: 1999 high conductivity, no pH values collected in Honey and North Fork of Little Beaver Creek</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>OEPA database: 1998 South Fork Sugar Creek (RM 0.27) pH 5.04, Trib. to Indian Trail Creek (RM 6.08) pH 5.18, and Cherry Run (RM 0.22) pH 5.54</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuscarawas Tributaries</td>
<td>Kelly Capuzzi (OEPA) provided initial water quality information – TMDL in preparation</td>
<td>Full WWH pH 5.93 Full WWH pH 5.42 pH 3.67 High TDS, Fe, mining pH 5.3-5.7</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Eyes Evens Pike Run Pome Run Wolf Creek Mud Run Trib. to Morgan Run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>Kelly Capuzzi (OEPA) TMDL in preparation – not recommended for an AMDAT plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Creek</td>
<td>Pam Stachler (USFS) – not recommended for an AMDAT plan, isolated mining issues in Kimble Creek</td>
<td>OEPA database: 2000 Kimble Creek pH 2.46</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Branch Shade River</td>
<td>Matt Raymond (ODOT) – recommended for and AMDAT plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Need more data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meigs Creek</td>
<td>Jen Bowman (Voinovich Center) – high sediment loads, no acidity, under active reclamation by Consol</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. (continued) Supporting information to determine AMDAT plan needs in Ohio watersheds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed Name</th>
<th>Personal contact information</th>
<th>Water quality data and source</th>
<th>Recommended for an AMDAT plan (Y or N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McMahon Creek</td>
<td>Mike Mozena (ODNR-DMRM) – Recommended for an AMDAT plan, specifically Little McMahon Creek</td>
<td>OEPA database: 1983 Kings Run pH 4.0, 2.8, 3.0, 3.2, Trib. to Little McMahon Creek (RM 2.28) pH 3.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeling Creek</td>
<td>Mike Mozena (ODNR-DMRM) – Recommended for an AMDAT plan: Fall Run, Steep Run, Cox Run, Jug Run, Crabapple Creek, Sloan Run</td>
<td>OEPA database: 1999 Wheeling Creek (RM 12.3) high conductivity downstream of Fall Run and at the mouth of Fall Run no pH values were collected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Creek</td>
<td>Hal Miller (ODNR-DMRM) extensive mining throughout, have been developing projects in the area, no coordinator.</td>
<td>OEPA database: 1999-2000 Short Creek (RM 4.96) high conductivity, no pH data collected</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>OEPA database: 1983 Dry Fork pH 3.7 and Trib to Cross pH 2.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmes Creek</td>
<td>Pam Stachler (USFS) – not recommended for an AMDAT plan, isolated mining issues</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>OEPA database: 1992 Nimishillen Ck (RM 6.72) pH 5.5, high conductivity and 1987 Huford Run pH 3.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyger Creek</td>
<td>Barb Flowers – localized AMD with sediment issues</td>
<td>OEPA database: 1982 Jessie pH 4.5 Turkey Run pH 4.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign Creek</td>
<td>Barb Flowers (ODNR-DMRM) recommended for an AMDAT plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Need more data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio River Tributaries Stories Run</td>
<td>Stories Run, Mike Mozena (ODNR-DMRM) recommended Patton’s, Glenn’s, and Deep Run)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Need more data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Creek</td>
<td>Max Luehrs (OSM) and Bill Jonard (ODNR-DMRM) – severe AMD impacted (Muskingum Tributary)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub-Target 2: “By 2010, 10 AMD impaired watersheds have implemented some or all of the reclamation actions recommended in the endorsed AMDAT”.

As of 2007, the following eight watersheds are implementing reclamation actions endorsed in their AMDAT plan: Little Raccoon Creek, Headwaters of Raccoon Creek, Middle Basin of Raccoon Creek, Monday Creek, Sunday Creek, Huff Run, Leading Creek, and Moxahala. Yellow Creek is planning for reclamation activities and making efforts to secure funding for projects in 2008 and beyond.

Sub-Target 3: “By 2006, report annually on a comparison between acidity and pH concentrations upstream and downstream of AMD project sites and long-term monitoring stations, as compared to acidity and pH reference sites within the Western Allegheny Plateau Eco-region”.

This report and website (www.watersheddata.com) were created to provide ODNR-DMRM, watershed groups, watershed professionals, Ohio EPA, USEPA and all of Ohio’s citizens an annual report of the reclamation efforts resulting in water quality and biological changes in Ohio’s streams due to abandoned mine reclamation. This report is available on the website under the reports tab.
Section II – Watershed reports

*Section II contains four watershed level NPS reports detailing the chemical and biological data trends from baseline condition to 2007.*

1. Raccoon Creek Watershed
2. Monday Creek Watershed
3. Sunday Creek Watershed
4. Huff Run Watershed
The Raccoon Creek Watershed Project is a local partnership working towards conservation, stewardship, and restoration of the watershed for a healthier stream and community. The partnership consists of multiple agencies and individuals working to restore and promote the waters of Raccoon Creek. Encompassing over 683 square miles, the watershed lies in portions of six southeast Ohio Counties (Athens, Hocking, Meigs, Vinton, Jackson and Gallia). Raccoon Creek is one of Ohio’s longest streams, measuring 112 miles draining into the Ohio River in Gallia County. Major sources of impairment to the stream include acid mine drainage (AMD), drainage from wastewater treatment facilities, and industrial discharges. By and large, AMD contributes to the vast majority of pollution issues in the watershed.

The watershed currently has over 25,610 acres of underground coal mines and 21,550 acres of surface coal mines within its boundaries. About 110 acres of abandoned coal refuse piles also lie in the watershed. These abandoned mines and refuse piles leach thousands of pounds of sulfuric acid and metals into the creek daily, significantly degrading the water quality of streams. In the late 1990’s representatives from several partnering agencies, including the Institute for Local Government and Rural Development (ILGARD), Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mineral Resource Management, and Ohio EPA, prioritized sites that contributed the most AMD pollution to Raccoon Creek and began to implement restoration strategies on these sites. Because the watershed is so large, three major sub-shed divisions are used to break up the region into more manageable sections. These consist of the Headwaters, Little Raccoon, and the Middle Basin sub-sheds. Each of these sections has priority AMD projects. Some of these projects have been completed, some are in progress, and some are anticipated future projects.

Headwaters

The major priority sites in the headwaters sub-shed include East Branch, where several impacted tributaries contribute to significant acid and metal loadings in Raccoon Creek. Brushy Creek and the Mainstem of Raccoon...
Creek above Brushy Creek are also priority AMD abatement sites.

- Little Raccoon

- Flint Run is the largest contributor of AMD in the Little Raccoon Creek watershed. A majority of this (90%) is attributed to a 240-acre site in the headwaters. This site, called Broken Aro, previously housed a coal preparation facility and mine tailings dump. Other major AMD contributors in this basin include Mulga Run, Buffer Run and Goose Run.

- Middle Basin

- Major acid contributors in the middle basin include Rock Camp and Pierce Run. Rock Camp is the most consistent contributor of AMD, and has net acidic water regardless of flow. Pierce Run has experienced some net alkaline flows; it is thought that this might result from current mining operations in the area.

- Watershed Outreach

- In addition to the technical work of AMD remediation, other activities in the watershed are geared toward meeting goals of stewardship and conservation in the region. Annual litter pick-ups, tree-plantings and canoe-floats all encourage residents to become stewards of our watershed. School programs for youths help educate students about water quality, acid mine drainage, and the value of clean water. In addition, a new community group has formed to address access issues for canoers and kayakers who wish to paddle on the creek.

For further updates on the progress in Raccoon Creek, please visit our webpage at:
www.raccooncreek.org
Timeline of the Raccoon Creek Watershed
Project Milestones & AMD Projects

This timeline shows the history of the Raccoon Creek Watershed Partnership, started almost two decades ago by a group of concerned local citizens. Today, the partnership consists of multiple state and local agencies and private citizens. AMD projects have been administered through the Vinton Soil and Water Conservation District and Ohio University's Voinovich School (ILGARD), with funding from various state and federal grants but mostly from Ohio EPA's 319 program and ODNR-MRM's AMD program.

1980s Formation of Raccoon Creek Improvement Committee (RCIC): Grassroots citizens group to address water quality issues in Raccoon Creek

Early
1990s RCIC invites citizens from all six counties to join efforts

Late
1990s Formation of Raccoon Creek Watershed Partnership, a loosely based partnership of agencies to address technical AMD issues

1999 State Route 124 Strip Pit and Buckeye Furnace Project completed

2000 Little Raccoon Creek AMDAT completed
Watershed Coordinator position funded for six years

2001 Headwaters AMDAT completed
State Route 124 seeps project completed

2003 Mulga Run project completed
Middle Basin AMDAT completed
Completed management plan for Raccoon Creek Watershed

2004 Carbondale II project completed

2005 Middleton Run-Salem Road project completed

2006 Raccoon Creek Water Trail Association formed
Mission to Establish a water trail on Raccoon Creek
Flint Run and Lake Milton Projects completed
Watershed Coordinator three year extension funded

2007 Raccoon Creek Watershed Partnership formed 501 (c) 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Installed</th>
<th>East Branch Phase I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Limestone Channel</td>
<td>1,100 linear feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Slag Leach Bed</td>
<td>16,251 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling pond with limestone berms</td>
<td>42,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclaim gob pile</td>
<td>4.8 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone J-trench</td>
<td>12 linear feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Load Reductions will be evaluated in 2008 for this project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Installed</th>
<th>East Branch Phase I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid Load</td>
<td>NA lbs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Load</td>
<td>NA lbs/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Cumulative BMP’s installed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Installed</th>
<th>East Branch Phase I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Treatment in Lake Milton</td>
<td>50 million gallons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEN LIMESTONE CHANNEL</td>
<td>2,300 linear feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEEL SLAG LEACH BED</td>
<td>74,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUCCESIVE ALkALINE PRODUCING SySTEMS</td>
<td>16,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair Dam with Slurry Wall</td>
<td>75,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.8 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 linear feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Raccoon Creek pH values have improved throughout the watershed from baseline conditions (1994-2001) to 2006. Raccoon Creek mainstem, Hewett Fork and Little Raccoon Creek average pH values have increased from a range of 4.0-5.4 to 5.5-6.5. In 2007, 3.6 river miles in Hewett Fork, 24.6 river miles in Little Raccoon Creek, and 10 river miles along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek all met the pH standard (pH > 6.5)
MAIS samples were collected throughout Raccoon Creek in 2007, these stations has been established as annual monitoring stations for macroinvertebrates. These sites will be used to track incremental changes in future years.
MAIS samples were collected throughout Raccoon Creek from 2005 through 2007. These stations have been established as annual monitoring stations for macroinvertebrates they will be used to track incremental changes in future years. After each station amasses five samples (five years of data) a regression analysis can be used to determine changes. One station on Hewett Fork has data from 2001-2008, HF090 (RM 8.3). The regression analysis for this site indicates ‘no statistical change’ over the seven year period. There was a slight score increase from 2005 to 2006, but fell in 2007 (P value 0.17) (Johnson 2008, personal communication).

After 2009 when there are at least five sampling events to perform the more robust regression analysis, an ‘area of degradation’ analysis can be used to assess degradation along a section of stream. In Hewett Fork from 2006 to 2007, along river mile 13.4 to 0.9, the area of degradation grew worse (-34 to -62). The first three stations from RM 13.4 to 8.3 all declined, while the last three stations from RM 6.4 to 0.9 remained constant. Along Little Raccoon Creek, river mile 24.4 to 1.2, from 2005 to 2007, MAIS data showed biological improvement both from 2005 to 2006 (-164 to -58) and from 2006 to 2007 (-39 to +16) (Figure 1). The +16 signifies areas (river mile 18.7 to 1.2) that are meeting the biocriterion target of twelve (Kinney, master thesis 2006).

Figure 1. Area of degradation for MAIS scores in Little Raccoon Creek from 2006 to 2007
• Monday Creek, located in the Appalachian Region of southeastern Ohio, is a 27-mile long tributary of the Hocking River, the latter which flows directly into the Ohio River. The Monday Creek Watershed drains a 116 square-mile area, with streams winding through portions of Athens, Hocking, and Perry Counties.

• Our project is a collaborative partnership of officials and residents of the Monday Creek watershed, along with more than 20 other organizations and state and federal agencies. Our shared goal is to restore the watershed for the benefit of local communities. Large portions of Monday Creek and its tributaries are dead due to acid mine drainage (AMD) left behind from a century of coal mining.

• Since 1994, our partnership has worked together to identify water quality problems, conduct field research and site characterization, and prioritize and plan on-going restoration activities. The MCRP has completed the reclamation of the Rock Run gob pile in southern Perry County through an EPA Section 319 grant and is beginning another project in the headwaters of Jobs Hollow through 319.

• In 1997-1998, we identified issues to be addressed for the long-term improvement of the watershed, and to the benefit of local communities. These issues, along with goals, objectives, action strategies, and progress indicators are discussed in detail in the Monday Creek Comprehensive Management Plan.

• To learn more about the Monday Creek Restoration Project, visit our website at www.mondaycreek.org or call 740-394-2047

333,935,000 gallons per year eliminated from entering into the deep mines as the result of conducting six stream capture closure projects in Monday creek.
Six stream captures located in the Monday Creek Watershed were closed and completed from 1995 to 2007. A total of 911 acres surface drainage area drained year round into the deep mines and as a result of closing these subsidence holes, 333,935,000 gallons per year were diverted from entering into the deep mine thus abating the generating of acid mine drainage.

**Monday Creek Stream Capture Projects**

Project status: Six subsidence closures projects were completed from 1995-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Year project complete</th>
<th>Acres Captured</th>
<th>Agencies funding</th>
<th>Estimated gallons/yr of water diverted from entering the deep mine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Majestic Mine</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM</td>
<td>36,860,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem Hollow</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM</td>
<td>22,116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray City</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM</td>
<td>1,843,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Run</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM</td>
<td>186,512,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Fork</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM</td>
<td>51,604,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>USFS</td>
<td>35,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reductions**

- **Total acid load reduction = 2,706 lbs/day**
- **Total metal load reduction = 409 lbs/day**

Data derived using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004). (excludes Rock Run Gob Pile Project)

**Costs**

- Design $220,077
  (excluding Snake Hollow)
- Construction $2,969,150

**Total costs through 2007 = $3,189,226**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run Subsidence</td>
<td>$328,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run Phase I</td>
<td>$510,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$838,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Load Reductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Acid Load</th>
<th>Metal Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run Phase I</td>
<td>458 lbs/day</td>
<td>30 lbs/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative BMP's installed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Treatment Installed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run Phase I</td>
<td>Open Limestone Channel: 3,540 linear feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limestone Leach Bed: 13,700 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Run Subsidence</td>
<td>Subsidence Closures: 10 closures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access restored: 100 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Completion

- **Sub-target 2**
  - Total projects proposed in 1999 AMDAT = 13
  - Total projects complete = 9 (plus 5 subsidence closures)

### Attainment Miles

- Total stream miles assessed impacted by mine drainage = 83 miles
- Target #1 indicates 30% attainment of impaired streams by 2010 = 25 miles
- **2006 progress = 0 miles**
  - meeting Full WWH attainment
  - (33 miles assessed in 2006)
In Monday Creek pH values have improved throughout the watershed from baseline conditions (2001) to 2007. Twenty-five stream miles along the main-stem of Monday Creek now meet water quality standards (>6.5) from Snake Hollow to headwaters of Jobs Hollow. In Snow Fork pH has decreased from Buchtel to Murray City from 2006–2007. For three miles in the headwaters of Snow Fork, Essex mine to Murray City pH continues to meet water quality standards (>6.5).
MAIS samples were collected throughout Monday Creek at established annual monitoring stations from 2001 through 2007. Six stations along mainstem of Monday Creek have sufficient data to conduct a regression analysis (n>5). From this analysis there is evidence of long-term biological improvement. Of the six stations, three showed improvement (P 0.04, 0.02, and 0.04), one showed marginal improvement (P 0.09), and two showed no improvement (JH00500 and MC00830). The three sites with the greatest improvement occurred at MC00300 (mainstem Carbon Hill, Bucks Inn), MC00510 (mainstem upstream of Lost Run), and MC00580 (Oreville).
• The Sunday Creek Watershed Group emerged from local residents’ concerns for the health of the Sunday Creek. Currently, we are a project of Rural Action. The Sunday Creek Watershed group office is located on 69 High St. Glouster Ohio 45732. The phone number is 740-767-2225 and our web page is http://www.sunday-creek.org. Our most active partners are: Ohio Department of Natural Resources the divisions of Mineral Resource Management and Soil and Water Conservation; Ohio Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Surface Mining; Ohio University; ILGARD; Hocking College; Trimble and Miller School District; Rural Action’s Environmental Learning Program and Sustainable Forestry; Local Village Councils; Local Township Trustees; Little Cities of Black Diamonds; Buckeye Trail Group; Moose Lodge; Wayne National Forest; Burr Oak State Park.

• Our mission statement, as adopted by the Sunday Creek Watershed Group in 2000; “The Sunday Creek Watershed Group is committed to restoring and preserving water quality through community interaction, conservation, and education; in pursuit of a healthy ecosystem capable of supporting bio-diversity and recreation.”

• The Sunday Creek Watershed is located in the Appalachian foothills, in the unglaciated part of Ohio. It is mostly rural with many small villages throughout, and the majority of the land is privately owned. The Sunday creek watershed starts in the East Branch, north of Rendville and the West Branch at Shawnee. The creek follows SR 13 through Corning, Glouster, Millfield and it goes into the Hocking River right in Chauncey. The watershed covers 139 square miles crossing Athens (38.8%), Perry (42.84%), Morgan (18.35%), and Hocking (0.01%) Counties. According to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, in 1994, land cover classification for Sunday Creek consisted of 78% wooded, 17% agricultural, 2.4% brush, 1% urban, 1% open water, 0.3% barren, and 0.2% non-forested wetland (Map 2: land use/land cover). The U.S. Forest Service manages approximately 15% of the total acreage.
• In the fall of 1999, Jim Hart began putting together a list of other local residents interested in water quality in the Sunday Creek watershed. A group of over 20 people attended the first meeting, which was held in the Trimble High School library. At the beginning, the group focused on organization, establishing a mission, and getting a sense of the community's concerns for the Sunday Creek. In 2000, the group partnered with Rural Action and got its first full time Americorp VISTA. That year we received an EPA 319 planning grant to develop a management plan. With that grant we completed a State Endorsed Management Plan and an Acid Mine Drainage Abatement Plan with additional funding from ODNR-MRM. In 2002, we received a six year ODNR Soil and Water Conservation Watershed Coordinator grant. In 2003 we began our first EPA 319 2002 implementation grant. Currently we are in the last year of our second (2004) EPA 319-implementation grant. We also received an Appalachian Clean Stream Initiative Grant from OSM. All of this funding has been made possible with our strong partnership and match funding from the ODNR division of Mineral Resource Management. We have finished our first acid mine drainage remediation project at Congo Run, a subsidence closure. The SCWG is currently coordinating major reclamation projects in the West Branch of Sunday Creek and Headwaters. We have also completed 17 upgrades of septic systems, planted thousands of trees, cleaned up over 200 tons of garbage, and educated thousands of students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Year Completed</th>
<th>Acres Captured</th>
<th>Agencies funding</th>
<th>Estimated water diverted from entering the deep mine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congo Run CR-15</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM, OSM</td>
<td>24,000,000 gallons/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Run</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM, OEPA</td>
<td>50,867,000 gallons/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodgers Hollow</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>ODNR-DMRM, OEPA</td>
<td>589,290,000 gallons/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three stream captures located in the Sunday Creek Watershed were closed and completed from 2004-2007. A total of 1,810 acres surface drainage area drained year round into the deep mines and as a result of closing these subsidence holes 664,157,000 gallons per year were diverted from entering into the deep mine thus abating the generating of acid mine drainage. Expected additional alkaline loading from these closures returning clean water to the receiving streams is 864 lbs/day.

Costs

- Design = $147,781
- Construction = $504,399

Total costs through 2007 = $652,180 (excluding Congo Run CR-15 design)
Water quality along the West Branch Sunday Creek has been degrading since baseline conditions in 2001. Values of average pH dropped from >6.4 to 4.0-5.4 range in 2005 to 2006 and remain constant in 2007. These pH values have dropped showing poorer water quality downstream of Drakes. The subsidence features in Rodger's Hollow have increased in size and have been continuing to funnel more water into the deep mines that then drain seep outlets in Drakes. With the completion of the Rodger’s Hollow project, these seep discharges in Drakes are expected to decrease, improving water quality along the West Branch of Sunday Creek. Sunday Creek mainstem downstream of Truetown continues to show pH values of less than 6.5. Values of pH in 2007 remain constant with values of pH in 2006. Approximately thirty-one stream miles met pH standards of 6.5 in the Sunday Creek Watershed.
MAIS samples were collected throughout Sunday Creek at established annual monitoring stations from 2001 through 2007. Four stations have sufficient data to conduct a regression analysis (n>5). All four stations show no statistical evidence for improvement of MAIS scores between 2001 and 2007. This is consistent with the water quality data and the area of degradation analysis. Until restoration projects are complete in Corning, Truetown, and West Branch Headwaters, no change in macroinvertebrates or water quality is expected.
Huff Run flows from the Morges community in Carroll County, into Tuscarawas County and has its confluence in the Conotton Creek just South of Mineral City, Ohio. Huff Run is 9.9 miles long with a 13.9 square mile watershed. Almost all land east of State Route 542 (about 2/3 of the watershed) has been mined for coal and some limestone and clay. Because much of the land mined was not re-claimed, the watershed is plagued with the resulting acid mine drainage. Other pollution issues in the watershed include illegal dumping, poor riparian buffers, raw sewage entering the stream, oil and gas impacts, and agricultural impacts.

The Huff Run Watershed Restoration Partnership Inc. (HRWRP) was founded in 1996 by a group of concerned citizens. The HRWRP has partnered with ODNR/WM, Rural Action, OEPA, Crossroads RC&D, OSM and others to fulfill their mission statement which is “To restore the Huff Run watershed by improving water quality and enhancing wildlife habitat, through community support and involvement.”

The Farr Anoxic Limestone Drain, the first passive treatment system in the watershed, was constructed in 2000. Also, HRWRP can boast of building the first bioremediation system in Ohio with their Linden Restoration Project. They also were awarded a US EPA Targeted Watershed Grant in 2005 for their Belden Successive Alkaline Producing System. At their 10 year anniversary, seven restoration projects have been completed with funding obtained for five more.

To learn more about the HRWRP, visit their website at www.huffrun.org or call 330-859-1050 to reach their office.
Reductions

Total acid load reduction = 83 lbs/day

Attainment Miles

Total stream miles assessed impacted by mine drainage = 9.90 miles

Target #1 indicates 30% attainment of impaired streams by 2010 = 2.97 miles

2006 progress = 0 miles meeting Full WWH attainment, however 3.90 miles changed from Non-supportive to Partial Attainment (9.90 miles assessed in 2006)

Completion

Sub-target 2
Total projects proposed Huff Run Watershed = 11 projects
Total projects complete = 7 projects

Costs

Design $265,560 (excluding Linden and Huff Run AML)
Construction $2,311,835 (excluding Huff Run AML)

Total cost through 2007 = $2,577,295
Huff Run pH values have improved from baseline conditions (1985-1998) to 2007. Two mainstem sections totaling 2.3 miles have improved pH values from the range 5.5–6.4 to now meeting water quality standards pH >6.5. Huff run mainstem supports 4.9 miles in total that meet the pH standard (>6.5).
Huff Run aquatic life use has improved from baseline conditions (1985-1998) to 2005. Aquatic life use changed from WWH non-sportive to WWH partial attainment along 3.9 miles in Huff Run. In 2007 the MAIS score increased in the headwaters slightly from site HR6/HRR03 to HR11/HRR04. This is the site downstream of Lindentree Project. The area of degradation analysis for the seven mainstem sites along Huff Run, shows little improvement between 2005 (-84) and 2007 (-80). MAIS scores steadily increase at the river mile 4.9 (site HR 11/ HRR04).
Section III – AMD project reports

Raccoon Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2007.

Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported in the 2007 NPS monitoring report:

1. Carbondale II Doser
2. Hope Clay – Status Completed*
3. Mulga Run
4. Middleton Run
5. State Route 124 Seeps
6. Flint Run
7. Lake Milton
8. Buckeye Furnace/Buffer Run
9. East Branch Phase I

* “Status Completed” projects are no longer being monitored
Carbondale II Wetland is located in Section 30 of Waterloo Township in Athens County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101030010. The site is seven acres and located in the subwatershed Hewett Fork of Raccoon Creek Watershed. The design was completed by ATC Associates for $48,023. The treatment approach for this site was to install an Aqua-fix lime-dosing unit. The major considerations in this design were the metal precipitates discharge into Hewett Fork because of the limited space for storage ponds on site. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acid load discharging from the Carbondale mine seeps. The project goal was met by 100 percent. One problem encountered at this site was the dosing material performance. Initially lime kiln dust was used, but the material bridges in the dosing unit. The material was switched to calcium oxide, a more expensive material but greater neutralizing potential. Therefore the doser now has the ability to over-treat and neutralize acid mine drainage from downstream sources. Construction was complete April 1, 2004, by Law General Contracting for a cost of $389,637. The major responsibility of the construction company was to remove existing metal retention wetlands and install the doser and a concrete mixing channel. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM, and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEPA, and OSM-ACSI. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 758 lbs/day of acid were reduced from entering into Hewett Fork as a result of this AMD reclamation project. In addition to 100 percent of the acid load reduction there is an addition of approximately 186 lbs/day of alkalinity to Hewett Fork both as dissolved and solid unused calcium oxide. Dissolved metal load reduction occurring at this site was approximately 161 lbs/day. The metals precipitate as a result of the high pH water and become part of the substrate in the receiving stream.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the main-stem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Graph showing changes in pH at various stations pre- and post-construction.](image1)

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Graph showing changes in acidity at various stations pre- and post-construction.](image2)

As a result of the Carbondale II Wetland Doser project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 11 miles. Pre-construction data showed, pH in the range of 2.8 – 5.9 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Carbondale II Wetland Doser, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.2 – 9.3 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 11 miles downstream to station HF010.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 6/1/1996 to 5/1/2003 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2004 to 6/30/2007 for post-construction. No new data was collected during 7/1/2007 to 12/31/2007.


Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

Carbondale II Doser - Acid Load Reduction

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

Carbondale II Doser - Metal Load Reduction

Average discharge measurements were used to calculate load reductions using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) instead of deriving the mean annual discharge from the drainage area because the discharge from the Carbondale II Wetland site is controlled primarily by deep mine drainage and not surface drainage.

Hope Clay is located in Section 23 of Brown Township in Vinton County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101020060. The project discharge was measured at the Hope Clay Project tributary. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM for $5,000. The treatment approach for this site was to install an open limestone channel (OLC) and to conduct basic reclamation. The major consideration for this site was erosion control. The goal of the design was 100 percent acidity reduction and erosion control. The project goal was met by 100 percent.

The construction was complete June 1, 2005, by Hocking College Environmental Program for a cost of $67,000. The major responsibility of the Hocking College Environmental Program was to conduct site reclamation. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM and OSM ACSI for construction. Approximately 21.53 lbs/day of acid and 3.77 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (figure 1) and acidity (figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Hope Clay Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for less than one mile. Pre-construction data showed pH at 3.3 at the project discharge. However, after installation of the Hope Clay Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.7 – 7.1 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentrations decreased 100 percent at the project discharge showing net alkaline conditions downstream to station MSBM004.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1/1/1990 to 5/31/2005 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2005 to 12/31/2006 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Hope Clay - Acid Load Reduction](image)

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Hope Clay - Metal Load Reduction](image)

Mulga Run Reclamation Project is located in Section 10 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 6.8 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. The design was completed by ATC Associates for $247,127. The treatment approach for this site was to install two steel slag leach beds and conduct a wetland enhancement project. The major consideration for this design was to attempt to treat entire basin with steel slag leach beds and wetland instead of treating all acid mine drainage sites in the basin. Mulga Run discharge was sometimes net alkaline; however, the site was also capable of producing acid spikes (3000 lbs/day) throughout the year. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acid spikes and create consistent net alkaline water discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. The project goal was met by 100 percent. A private residence height was increased to reduce the flood risk adjacent to the project site. Construction was complete August 30, 2004, by Stockmeister Enterprises for a cost of $440,783. The funding source, for this the project design were Ohio EPA and ODNR-DMRM and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEPA and OSM-ACSI. Figure, 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 10 lbs/day of acid and 177 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Mulga Run Reclamation project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 2.1 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 5.5 – 6.9 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Mulga Run Reclamation project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.8 – 7.2 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 5.7 miles downstream to station LRC0045.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1/1/1998 to 8/1/2003 for pre-construction and from 9/1/2004 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

The trendline in Figure 3 for pre-treatment acid loading is not well-defined due to the sporadic chemical water quality conditions at the Mulga Run site. Sometimes net alkaline conditions were present, and sometimes acid spikes were produced (3000 lbs/day). The goal of this project was to decrease acidity and eliminate the acid spikes from entering into Little Raccoon Creek. Figure 3 shows these variations during the pre-treatment time period and shows 100 percent acid load reduction during post-treatment.


Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction
Salem Road/Middleton Run Project is located in Section 15 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 60 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. The design was completed by GAI Consultants Inc. and Bergmann Associates for $193,283. The treatment approach for this site was to install 3 separate treatment components consisting of: open limestone channels, steel slag channels, reclamation, and a limestone leach bed (see diagram on page 3 of this report). The major consideration for this design was to eliminate all water storage, create contours for positive drainage, cover toxic materials, and generate alkalinity. The goal of the design was to reduce 100 percent of the acidity loading discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. The acidity has been reduced by 100 percent from the three project discharges accumulatively at the mouth of Middleton Run. Construction was complete November 15, 2005, by Stockmeister Enterprises Inc. for a cost of $687,913. The funding source, for the project design and construction were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA. Figures 4 and 5 (shown on page 5 and 6 of this report) estimate approximately 334 lbs/day of acid and 46 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Middleton Run Creek as a result of these three AMD reclamation project. Each of the three treatment components are evaluated further on page 4 of this report.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at three project discharges as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the (Salem Road/Middleton Run) project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 11 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 2.8 – 6.7 at the project cumulative discharges and downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Salem Road/Middleton Run reclamation project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.4 – 7.0 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased by 100 percent at the three project discharges accumulatively creating net alkaline conditions continuing for 11 miles downstream to station LRC0030.

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity
An analysis of the acid and metal load reductions of the three separate treatment components are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample site ID</th>
<th>Description of the sampling station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MiR0090</td>
<td>Tributary draining limestone leach bed treatment, site is at crossing with Salem Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiR0032</td>
<td>Sample site located directly below the dam at MiR0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiR0021</td>
<td>The site represents discharge across the former mine pit floor. Sample site is at the Salem Road culvert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3, 4, and 5. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1997 to 2005 for pre-construction and from 2006 to 2007 for post-construction.

Figure 4. Acid Load Reduction

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0021 - Acid Load Reduction

\[ y = 0.862x + 2.3919, \quad R^2 = 0.9717, \quad 10^{2.39} = 246 \]

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0032 - Acid Load Reduction

\[ y = 0.8332x + 1.7455, \quad R^2 = 0.9334, \quad 10^{1.745} = 56 \]

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0090 - Acid Load Reduction

\[ y = 0.962x + 1.8362, \quad R^2 = 0.989, \quad 10^{1.836} = 69 \]

\[ y = 1.6993x + 1.5639, \quad R^2 = 0.9595, \quad 10^{1.563} = 37 \]
Figure 5. Dissolved Metal Load Reduction

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0021 - Dissolved Metal Load Reduction

\[ y = 0.8292x + 1.6438 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9436 \]
\[ 10^1.643 = 44 \]

\[ y = 0.6585x - 0.7918 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.6666 \]
\[ 10^{-0.791} = 6 \]

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0032 - Dissolved Metal Load Reduction

\[ y = 0.6392x + 0.926 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.7029 \]
\[ 10^{0.926} = 8 \]

\[ y = 0.5195x - 0.6814 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.071 \]
\[ 10^{-0.68} = 4 \]

Salem Road/Middleton Run MiR0090 - Dissolved Metal Load Reduction

\[ y = 1.055x + 1.0539 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9394 \]
\[ 10^{1.053} = 11 \]

\[ y = 1.6253x + 0.8694 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9531 \]
\[ 10^{0.869} = 7 \]
State Route (SR) 124 Seeps Project is located in Section 15 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 7 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. The design was completed by ATC Associates Inc. for $80,000. The treatment approach for this site was to install several open limestone channels and conduct basic reclamation. The major consideration for this design was to establish positive drainage, remove several highwall impoundments, covertoxicmaterials, establish vegetations, and add alkalinity through the limestone channels. The goal of the design was to remove acidity from entering into Little Raccoon Creek. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Construction was complete June 18, 2001, by Oldtown Coal Company for a cost of $315,490. The major responsibility of the construction company was to complete all reclamation activities described in the project design. The funding source, for the project design and construction were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 82 lbs/day of acid and 13 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the SR 124 Seeps project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 9.5 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 2.9 – 6.7 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the SR 124 Seeps project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.7 – 6.9 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased 91 percent at the discharge showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 9.5 miles downstream to station LRC0030.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 2/1/1997 to 11/27/2000 for pre-construction and from 6/19/2001 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

Flint Run East is located in Section 28 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The project site is 56 acres and is located in Little Raccoon Creek next to Lake Milton. Flint Run East project is Phase I of the Flint Run Reclamation Project, Lake Milton is Phase II. The project discharge was measured at the tributary draining the Flint Run East treatment site. The design was completed by RD Zande for a cost of $241,702. The treatment approach for this site was to dewater the strip pits and install passive acid mine drainage treatment systems. The major consideration during the design process was to reduce groundwater infiltration into the valley coal refuse pile. The goal has been met 100%. Construction was complete Aug. 1, 2006, by Berridge Reclamation for a cost of $1,456,106. The major responsibility of the construction company was to complete the reclamation and install passive treatment systems. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM for the design and ODNR-DMRM, EPA-319 and OSM ACSI for construction. Figure 3 to 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 803 lbs/day of acid and 109 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

Initial results from the Flint Run East Project indicate, pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for 7.0 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 2.7 – 6.7 at the project discharge and downstream. However, after installation of the Flint Run East Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.5 – 7.2 at the discharge, and downstream. The net acidity concentrations decreased 88 percent at the project discharge showing net alkaline conditions for 7.0 miles downstream to station LRC0030.
Water Quality - load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1/1/1975 to 5/31/2006 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2006 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Lake Milton is located in Section 28 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The project site is 155 acres and is located in Little Raccoon Creek next to the Flint Run East Project. The Lake Milton Project is Phase II of the Flint Run Reclamation Project, Flint Run East was Phase I. The project discharge was measured at the outlet from Hothouse Lake. The design was completed by Bergmann Associates and GAI Consultants Inc. for a cost of $416,000. The treatment approach for this site was to repair the Lake Milton, dam and to install a Successful Alkaline Producing System (SAPS) and a steel slag leach bed. The major consideration during the design process was the crucial need to treat the acid mine drainage in Upper Lake Milton to drain to Lake Milton before running into the steel slag bed downstream of Lake Milton. The goal of the design is to reduce 600 lbs/day of acid loading. Problems occurred with the valves in 2007, therefore this project only worked intermittently until Sept. 2007. Construction was complete September 5, 2006 by Stockmeister Enterprises Inc. for a cost of $961,536. The major responsibility of the construction company was to complete the reclamation and install passive treatment systems. The funding sources for this project were ODNRM, EPA-319 and OSM ACSI for both the design and construction. Figures 3 to 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 1287 lbs/day of acid and 102 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek while the system was operating properly. Further evaluation will be completed next year.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream downstream of the project discharge.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Figure 1. Pre and Post pH](image)

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity](image)

As a result of the Lake Milton project the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 7.0 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.0–6.7 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Lake Milton Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.2–9.0 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline concentration for 7.0 miles downstream to station LRC0030.
**Water Quality – load reductions**

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 7/28/1998 to 8/9/2005 for pre-construction and from 10/16/2006 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

**Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction**

![Lake Milton - Acid Load Reduction](image)

**Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction**

![Lake Milton - Metal Load Reduction](image)

Buckeye Furnace and Buffer Run Project is located in Section 25 of Milton Township in Jackson County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05090101050030. The site is 65 acres and is located in the Little Raccoon Creek subwatershed. The design was completed by BBC&M Engineering Inc. for $125,000. The treatment approach for this site was to eliminate strip pits, reclaim the gob pile, and install a Successive Alkaline Producing System (SAPS) a passive treatment system. The major considerations for this project was mostly source control and but also constructing a passive treatment system. The goal of the design was to reduce 75 percent of the acidity discharging into Little Raccoon Creek. The acidity load has been reduced by 76 percent. Construction was complete June 20, 1998, by Earth Tech Inc. for a cost of $1,090,530. The major responsibility of the construction company was to complete all reclamation activities described in the project design. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM, and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM, OEP and OSM. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 1549 lbs/day of acid and 236 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Little Raccoon Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the main-stem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Buckeye Furnace and Buffer Run project, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation site for 18 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.2 – 6.4 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Buckeye Furnace and Buffer Run reclamation project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.9 – 7.0 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased by 42 percent, showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 18 miles downstream to the mouth of Little Raccoon Creek station LRC0010.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1/1/1996 to 3/25/1998 for pre-construction and from 6/23/1999 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Diagram showing acid load reduction](image)
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Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Diagram showing metal load reduction](image)
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East Branch Phase I Reclamation Project is located in Section 14 and 15 of Starr Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05090101020010. There are six separate sites spread out over three headwater drainages of the East Branch of Raccoon Creek, project footprint of the six sites is approximately 27 acres. The design was completed by ATC Associates Inc. for $65,438. The treatment approach for this site was to install six steel slag leach beds (16,251 sq. ft), 1,100 linear feet of open limestone channels, reclaim 4.8 acres of gob piles, and install two passive settling ponds with limestone berms (42,000 square feet). The goal of the design was to reduce acid at the mouth of the East Branch (EB010). The project goal will be evaluated in 2008 annual report. Construction was complete December 31, 2008 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $911,287. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install passive treatment systems and complete reclamation. The funding source for this the project design was Ohio EPA 319 grant and for construction the sources were ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA 319. After one year of evaluation the acid and metal load reduction will be reported in the 2008 annual report.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project. Post-construction monitoring is under way currently; results will be reported in 2008 annual report.

Figure 1. Pre pH

![Graph showing pre-pH data for East Branch Phase I](image)

Figure 2. Pre Acidity

![Graph showing pre-acidity data for East Branch Phase I](image)

East Branch Phase I Reclamation project pre-construction monitoring show pH and net acidity at the mouth of East Branch and along the mainstem of Raccoon Creek, shown above. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.6 – 6.3 at the mouth of East Branch and downstream of the project on Raccoon Creek. Post-construction data will be reported in 2008 annual report.
Section III – AMD project reports

Monday Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2007.

Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2007 NPS monitoring report:

1. Grimmett Hollow
2. Jobs Hollow Doser
3. Rock Run Gob Pile
4. Rock Run 24
5. Big Four Hollow
6. Essex Doser
7. Snake Hollow
8. Lost Run Phase I
9. Lost Run Subsidence Closures
Grimmett Hollow is located in Section 4 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The project site is five acres and located on Grimmett’s Property in the headwaters of Jobs Hollow, the project discharge is measured at the bridge on CR223. The design was completed by Red Wing Engineering for $19,000. The treatment approach for this site was to enhance an existing 1.3-acre wetland with two rock dams (300 linear feet), incorporated with alkaline material (LKD) as well as install (500 linear feet) open limestone channels (OLC) at seep locations and regrade, soil and vegetate a gob pile (0.15 acres). A stream was routed away from the gob pile via the open limestone channel. The goal of the design was to decrease acidity by 13.6 tons per year. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Major considerations encountered during the design process were the diffuseness of the AMD sources from above drainage underground mines, numerous seep discharges in the basin, gob pile and spoil deposited in wetland, and a stream flowing through the gob pile. Construction was complete Dec. 31, 2003 by Perry Reclaiming Inc. for a cost of $160,000. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install the rock dams, install the OLCs, reclaim the gob pile, and re-route the stream. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-MRM and EPA-319 for both design and construction. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 95 lbs/day of acid and 8 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Jobs Hollow and Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

As a result of the Grimmett Hollow Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for 0.75 miles at which the Jobs Doser discharges into the stream. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.1 – 3.5 at the project discharge and downstream. However, after installation of the Grimmett Hollow Project, post-construction data shows average pH in the range of 6.5 – 7.8 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentrations decreased 100 percent at the project discharge showing net alkaline conditions for 0.75 miles downstream to station JH00500.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 10/1/1997 to 7/31/2003 for pre-construction and from 1/1/2004 to 12/3/2007 for post-construction.

**Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction**

![Grimmett Hollow - Acid Load Reduction](image)

**Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction**

![Grimmett Hollow - Metal Load Reduction](image)

Jobs Hollow Doser is located in Section 5 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site is located in the headwaters of Monday Creek Watershed downstream of Jobs Hollow at the bridge on Portie Flamingo Road (CR 12). The design was completed by ATC Associates for $66,916.50. The treatment approach for this site was to install a lime doser. The goal of the design was to decrease acid load from the headwaters of Monday Creek by 54 percent. The project goal was met 100 percent. One major consideration encountered during the design process was that the dosing unit is located adjacent to an intermittent tributary of Monday Creek. Therefore a retention pool was created to create a constant supply of water to the doser. Construction was complete July, 20, 2004 by Tuson Inc. for a cost of 319,066.50. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install the dosing unit and create a retention pool to supply water to the doser. Funding sources for this project were ODNR-MRM, OSM-ACSI and ODEPA-319 for design and ODNR-DMRM and OSM-ACSI for construction.

Figure 3 and 4, estimate approximately 692 lbs/day of acid was reduced from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project (shown on page 3). In addition to the acid loading reduction measured at this site, there are approximately 413 lbs/day of alkaline addition to the headwaters of Monday Creek. Dissolved metal load reduction occurring at this site was approximately 97 lbs/day. The metals precipitate as a result of the high pH water and become part of the substrate.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post- construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

As a result of the Jobs Hollow Doser project, the pH and net acidity have improved downstream of the reclamation site for 10 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.5 – 5.9 downstream of the project. However, after installation of the Jobs Hollow Doser, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.6 – 8.7 downstream of the project discharge. The net acidity concentrations decreased, showing net alkaline conditions continuing for 10 miles downstream to station MC00500.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 10/1/1997 to 5/1/2004 for pre-construction and from 6/1/2005 to 12/13/07 for post-construction.

**Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction**

![Jobs Hollow Doser - Acid Load Reduction](image)

**Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction**

![Jobs Hollow Doser - Dissolved Metal Load Reduction](image)

Rock Run Gob Pile which was actually a slurry impoundment is located in Section 20 of Coal Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The Rock Run Gob Pile is stretched over 17 acres and was located on the north side of the Rock Run tributary. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM and Damariscotta for $15,000. The treatment approach for this site was to cover the 17 acre gob pile using standard reclamation methods, covering the gob with a layer of flue gas desulfurization, and installing a 3,200 Sq. ft. Successive Alkalinity Producing System (SAPS) was used to treat the acid mine drainage emitting from a deep mine at the back of the property. The goal of the design was to reclaim the gob pile and reduce metals and acid loadings from the mine drainage. The project goal was met by reducing acidity to net alkaline conditions at station RR02100 and increasing the pH. Major considerations encountered during the design process was the mine drainage exiting the SAPS (approximately 10% of the water exiting the site) couldn’t be separated from the gob pile reclaim. The valley was too small to accommodate and separate the run off from the SAPS treatment cells so a OLC was lined to carry the drainage off site after treatment. Construction was complete 9/1/1999 by Stimmel Brothers Construction for a cost of $274,500. The major responsibility of the construction company was to reclaim the gob pile, install the SAPS, and install the lined OLC. The funding sources for this project were for both the design and construction: ODNR-DMRM, EPA-319, and OSM-ACSI. Load reductions are not calculated due to lack of pre-construction data, see figure 3 & 4.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Rock Run Gob Pile Project, pH and net acidity have improved further downstream at site MC00800 approximately 0.75 miles. At the first station downstream of Rock Run Gob Pile, water quality didn’t show improvement due to other sources of acid mine drainage entering into the Rock Run tributary. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.0 – 5.2 at the project discharge and downstream. However after reclamation of the Rock Run Gob Pile Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.9– 6.2 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased resulting in net alkaline water at the project discharge.

Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge, 8/31/1998 for pre-construction and from 10/1/1999 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction. Only one sample was recorded during the pre-construction time period.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

**Rock Run Gob Pile - Acid Load Reduction**

- **Equation**: $y = 0.8189x + 0.5106$
- **$R^2$**: 0.3744
- **$10^{0.5106}$**: 3.24

![Graph showing acid load reduction with data points and trend line](image)

- Pre-treatment 1998
- Post-treatment 1999-2007

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

**Rock Run Gob Pile - Metal Load Reduction**

- **Equation**: $y = 1.8996x + 0.5086$
- **$R^2$**: 0.7252
- **$10^{0.5086}$**: 3.23

![Graph showing metal load reduction with data points and trend line](image)

- Pre-treatment 1998
- Post-treatment 1999-2007

Rock Run 24 is located in Section 20 of Coal Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site is located on the north side of the headwaters of Rock Run tributary. The design was completed by Red Wing Engineering for $25,840. The treatment approach for this site was to install 800 linear feet of open limestone channel (OLC). The goal of the design was to reduce acidity concentration by 36 percent. The project goal was met 100 percent at station MC00800, 1.5 miles downstream. However, at the project discharge RR00780, acidity concentrations only decreased by 29 percent. Major considerations encountered during the design process were landowners concerns and less than 10 percent slope for OLC. The original design was changed from a Reverse Alkaline Producing System (RAPS) to an OLC due to private landowner and U.S. Forest Service liability issues (i.e. flooding). Construction was complete Sept. 17, 2001, by Burr Oak Excavating for a cost of $71,281. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install the OLC at Rock Run 24 and OLC at the toe of the Rock Run gob pile. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM and OSM-ACSI for both the design and construction. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 93 lbs/day of acid and 9 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Rock Run tributary and Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Rock Run 24 Project, pH and net acidity have improved further downstream at site MC00800 approximately 0.75 miles. At the first station downstream of Rock Run 24, water quality didn’t show improvement due to other sources of acid mine drainage entering into the Rock Run tributary. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.3 – 5.4 at the project discharge and downstream. However after installation of the Rock Run 24 Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.3 – 6.3 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 29 percent at the project discharge, which resulted in a 100 percent decrease to net alkaline conditions at the downstream LTM 1 station MC00800.

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 10/1/1997 to 9/17/2001 for pre-construction and from 10/1/2001 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

Approximately 93 lbs/day of acid and 9 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Rock Run tributary and Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project. Average discharge measurements were used to calculate load reductions using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) instead of deriving the mean annual discharge from the drainage area because the discharge from the Rock Run 24 site is controlled primarily by deep mine drainage and not surface drainage.

Big Four Hollow is located in Section 14 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060030. The project site covers 285 acres of a 410 acre sub-watershed (Big Four Hollow) draining to Monday Creek. The design was completed by USFS and TN & A for $19,000. The treatment approach for this site was to install two limestone leach beds (3000 sq. ft) and approximately 1,400 linear feet of limestone channel (OLC). The goal of the project was to decrease acidity concentrations by 82% at station BF00400. However only 27% of the acidity concentration has been decreased at site BF00400. Construction was complete Sept. 17, 2001, by Pangea for a cost of $320,000. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install leach beds and OLCs. The funding sources for this project were USFS for the design and MCRP, ODNR-DMRM and USFS for construction. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 121 lbs/day of acid and 0 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project. This project was designed to reduce acidity, not metals.
Water Quality Report

Water samples were collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Big Four Hollow Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream for approximately 0.75 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.4 – 6.7 at the project discharge and downstream. However, after installation of the Big Four Hollow Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.1 – 6.6 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 27 percent at the project discharge.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 9/1/1997 to 7/30/2004 for pre-construction and from 11/1/2004 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction graph]

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction graph]

Essex Doser is located in Section 18 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060040. The site is located along Sycamore Hollow, State Route 216. Sycamore Hollow is a tributary to Snow Fork. The design was completed by ATC Associates for a cost of $32,320. The treatment was to install a lime doser. A problem encountered during design was that the funding for this project was originally intended to address Murray City Seeps. However the village of Murray City would not sign a right-of-entry form, so the project was moved to Essex Mine, further upstream of Murray City. The goal of the design was to neutralize acidity discharging from Essex Mine. The project goal, as indicated from initial post-construction sampling, has been met 100 percent. Further evaluation of this site will be completed next year after more data has been collected. A major consideration encountered during the design was the close proximity of the doser to State Route 216. Construction was complete March 31, 2006, by AWT Services Inc. for a cost of $287,400. The major responsibility of the construction company was to install the doser. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM and EPA-319 for both the design and construction.

Figure 3 & 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 724 lbs/day of acid was reduced from entering into Sycamore Hollow and Snow Fork as a result of this AMD reduction project. In addition to the acid loading reduction measured at this site, there was approximately 912 lbs/day of alkaline addition to the headwaters of Sycamore Hollow. Dissolved metal load reduction occurring at this site was approximately 200 lbs/day. The metals precipitate as a result of the high pH water and became part of the substrate.
Water Quality report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

As a result of the Essex Doser Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 6.0 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.7 – 5.1 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Essex Doser Project, initial post-construction data shows pH values are in the range of 4.1 – 8.4 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100 percent at the project discharge, resulting in net alkaline conditions on the mainstem of Sycamore Hollow for 2.95 miles.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge from 7/10/2001 to 10/31/2005 for pre-construction and from 04/06/2006 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction](image)

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction](image)

Snake Hollow is located in Section 18 of York Township in Athens County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204060030. The site encompasses the entire Snake Hollow subwatershed, approximately 500 acres in size. Snake Hollow is a tributary to Monday Creek. The design was completed by the U.S. Forest Service (Wayne National Forest). The source of the problems were the treatment approach for this site was to construct approximately two miles of limestone channels (OLC) and two slag leach beds, close nine subsidence holes and two portals and enhance the existing one acre wetland with limestone rock dams. The goal of the design was to reduce acid and metals concentrations discharging into Monday Creek. Figures 3 and 4 (shown on page 3) estimate approximately 522 lbs/day of acid and 65 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering into Monday Creek as a result of this AMD reclamation project which was the goal of the project. A major consideration encountered during the design was the documented capture of the Indiana Bat. During the design process, access road costs doubled. Construction was complete Dec. 31, 2004, by Environmental Quality Management for a cost of $740,000. The funding sources were ODNR-DMRM and USFS for both design and construction.
Water Quality Report

Water samples were collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre- and post-construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Snake Hollow Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream at site MC00180 approximately 0.25 miles. Pre-construction data showed pH in the range of 3.1 – 6.3 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Snake Hollow Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.8 – 6.7 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 39 percent at the project discharge, which resulted in net alkaline conditions (-23 mg/l) on the mainstem of Monday Creek at the downstream station MC00180.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge from 2/26/1998 to 9/11/2001 for pre-construction and from 1/3/2005 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

**Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction**

![Snake Hollow - Acid Load Reduction](image)

**Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction**

![Snake Hollow - Metal Load Reduction](image)

Lost Run Phase I is located in Section 36 of Ward Township in Hocking County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site is located at the mouth of the first tributary to the west in the Lost Run subwatershed. Project area is less than five acres. Lost Run is a tributary to Monday Creek at river mile 16.08. The design was completed by Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management ($35,000). The treatment consisted of constructing a 13,700 square foot limestone leach bed and installing 3,540 linear feet of limestone channels to treat acid mine drainage from five locations. The goal of the design was to reduce acid and metal concentrations discharging into Monday Creek. Construction was complete 10/31/2006 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $475,000. Problems with the limestone leach bed were encountered summer of 2007. The system was modified and repaired August 2007. Figure 3 and 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate that 458 lbs/day of acid and 30 lbs/day of metals were prevented from entering Monday Creek as a result of Phase I AMD reclamation project in Lost Run. The funding sources for this project were for the design was ODNR-DMRM and for construction was MCRP, ODNR-DMRM and Ohio EPA 319.
Water Quality Report

Water samples were collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

![Figure 1. Pre and Post pH](image)

**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**

![Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity](image)

As a result of the Lost Run Phase I Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 6.0 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.4 – 6.6 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Lost Run Phase I Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.7 – 7.0 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 60% at the project discharge.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004), acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre-, and post-construction at the project discharge from 5/9/2001 to 6/19/2006 for pre-construction and from 3/6/2007 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

Lost Run Phase I - Acid Load Reduction
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Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

Lost Run Phase I - Metal Load Reduction
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Lost Run Subsidence and Portal Closures are located in Section 33 of Coal Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204060010. The site footprint is approximately 250 acres and is located in the Lost Run subwatershed a tributary to Monday Creek. The Wayne National Forest partnered with the Monday Creek Restoration Project and the ODNR-DMRM to close ten subsidence and portal features in this subwatershed. The design was completed by USFS engineers for approximately $7,000. The treatment approach was to seal the mine entries to keep water on the surface and for safety purposes. The goal of the design was to keep water out of the underground mine complexes, eliminating the generation of acid mine drainage. Approximately 100 acres of drainage area were restored from captures and 3,280 linear feet of limestone channels were installed. During the design process two additional holes opened up, engineers changed the design to allow for additional work. By closing these ten features, 100-acre drainage area was restored, allowing clean surface water to return to Lost run and decrease 35,000,000 gallons/yr of water from entering the deep mine and becoming acid mine drainage at deep mines discharges in Lost Run and Sycamore Hollow. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Construction was complete November 1, 2007 by D. J. Group for a cost of $321,900. The major responsibility of the construction company was to perform tasks outlined in the plans and specifications to close all subsidence and portals. The funding sources for this project were for both the design and construction was the USFS.
Watershed Project- Lost Run

Wayne National Forest
Athens Ranger District
14 January, 2008

Coordinate Table Ohio SP South NAD83 ft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2045130</td>
<td>570408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2045085</td>
<td>570135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2045233</td>
<td>570210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2044274</td>
<td>569850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2044825</td>
<td>568744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2044911</td>
<td>568573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2045089</td>
<td>568237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2045567</td>
<td>567091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2043761</td>
<td>567327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2045731</td>
<td>565594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend
- Yellow Circle: Repair Work
- Light Blue: Drainage
- Green: WNF
Section III – AMD project reports

Sunday Creek Watershed comprehensive acid mine drainage projects progress report for 2007.

Section III contains individual AMD project reports displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data at the site and its impact to the receiving stream, and acid/metal loading reductions as a result of the project.

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2007 NPS monitoring report:

1. Congo Run Stream Capture (CR-15)
2. Rodger’s Hollow Stream Capture
3. Pine Run Stream Capture
4. Corning Gob Floodplain
Congo Stream Capture (CR-15) is located in Section 17 of Monroe Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The site is located in Congo Run subwatershed near the town of Congo. The deep mine opening and stream capture is located east of the Congo Strip Lakes and southwest of the town of Congo. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM. The treatment approach was to seal the mine entry at the abandoned highwall and fill in the pit to allow the stream discharge, of the 72-acre drainage area, to reach Congo Run. The goal of the design was to close the stream capture hole and return 100 percent of the stream flow to Congo Run, thus allowing clean surface water to return to Congo Run and preventing 24 million gallons/yr of water from entering the deep mine and becoming acid mine drainage at surrounding deep mines discharges. The project goal was met by 100 percent. Construction was complete July 9, 2004, by Perry Reclaiming for a cost of $35,522.60. The major responsibility of the construction company was to perform tasks outlined in the plans and specifications to eliminate the stream capture and create a channel to carry flows to Congo Run. The funding sources for this project were ODNR-DMRM for the design and OSM-ACSI for construction.
Rodger’s Hollow Stream Capture is located in Section 17 of Monroe Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The site is located in Congo Run subwatershed north of Drakes. There are two primary and four secondary stream captures. The design was completed by Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott, May Engineers, Inc. for a cost of $109,725. The treatment approach was to close primary and secondary stream captures and divert the channel, using natural stream design concepts (900 linear feet), away from the existing location which is an unstable abandoned coal pit along a highwall as well as add 879 linear feet of open limestone channels. Currently 1,600 acres (2.5 square miles) of surface water drains into the deep mine complex creating acid mine drainage at down-dip seep discharges in Drakes (WB 49 and 49/36). The goal of the design is to return 100 percent of stream water back into Congo Run thus adding alkalinity to Rodger’s Hollow/Congo Run and reducing acid mine discharges in Drakes. Pre-construction acid and metal loadings calculated accumulatively at sites WB 49 and 49/36 are 65.8 and 10.6 lbs/day (Figure 1 and 2). Post-construction acid and metal load reductions will be evaluated in the 2008 annual NPS report. Construction was completed December 14, 2007 by Tucson Inc. for $266,826. The funding source for the project design was ODNR-DMRM and construction was OEP 319.
**Water Quality – load reductions**

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 1 and 2. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- construction at the project discharge from 4/24/2001 to 1/29/2007 for pre-construction. Post-construction data will be evaluated next year, 2008 report.

**Fig. 1 Pre-construction acid loads**

![Diagram showing pre-construction load reductions for Drakes Seep WB49 and WB49/36 with linear regression equations and R-squared values.](image)

Fig. 2 Pre-construction metal loads

**Drakes Seep WB49 - Metal Load Reduction**

- Equation: $y = 0.8076x + 0.6662$
- $R^2 = 0.9986$
- $10^{0.66} = 4.6$

**Drakes Seep WB49/36 - Metal Load Reduction**

- Equation: $y = 1.8054x + 0.7795$
- $R^2 = 0.3376$
- $10^{0.77} = 5.97$
Pine Run Stream Capture is located in Section 14 of Salt Lick Township in Perry County and lies within the 14-digit HUC unit #05030204070030. The site is located in Pine Run subwatershed near Sulphur Springs. There are three subsidence features at the project site. The design was completed by ODNR-DMRM for a cost of $36,544. The treatment approach is to create a natural stream channel for fresh water, divert flow into a new channel and to close stream captures and subsidence features to reduce flow into the deep mine. Currently 138 acres of surface water drains into the deep mine complex creating acid mine drainage that discharges, down-dip at mine portals and seeps. The goal of the design is to prevent stream flow into the mine complex Py-76 to reduce flow at down-dip discharges and add alkaline water into Pine Run. Major considerations for this design were to minimize impact to large trees, use a natural stream design and minimize impact on a county road. The construction for this project was completed by Maiden and Jenkins for a cost of $71,981. The major responsibility of the construction company was to close three subsidence features and create natural channel for positive drainage to Pine Run. The funding sources for this project are ODNR-DMRM for design and OEPA 319 and ODNR-DMRM for construction.
As a result of the Pine Run stream capture project, an estimated 50,867,000 gallons/year will be diverted into Pine Run and not into the deep mine Py-76. A slight increase in pH and alkalinity have been measured downstream of the project discharge. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 4.16 – 6.4 downstream of the project. After closure of the subsidence holes and returning water in Pine Run, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.8 – 6.4 downstream of the project. PR003 is a seep thought to be linked to the py-76 mine complex. An increased in volume and, possibly, acidity was measured this year, pH slightly increased from 4.13 (n:23) to 4.28 (n:6) as well as a slight decrease in flow from 0.302 cfs to 0.235 cfs. However, the acidity increased at the site PR003 see figure 3 and 4. Acidity loading increased 147 lbs/day.

Only 6 months of data has been measured at this site post-construction. This site will continue to be monitored to track these trends.
Water Quality – load reductions

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at site PR003 though to be linked to deep mine complex Py–76 from 1/1/2001 to 4/30/2007 for pre-construction and from 5/1/2007 to 12/31/2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction](image)

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction](image)

Corning Gob Pile is located in Section 4 of Pleasant Township in Perry County and lies within the 14 digit HUC unit #05030304070010. The project site is 5 acres and is located in the Headwaters of Sunday Creek north of Rendville. Project discharge was measured at the pond discharge from coal refuse area (CG02). The design was be completed by ODNR-DMRM for a cost of $1,710. The treatment approach for this site is to remove all coal refuse located in the floodplain and along the stream bank of Sunday Creek. The major consideration for this project was not to impact the stream configuration, re-establish a floodplain, move refuse to higher elevation and cover with soil to reduce contact with air and water. The goal of the design is to reduce metal loadings from headwaters of Sunday Creek. Funding for this project was ODNR-DMRM in house design in conjunction with Federal AML Program site and Ohio EPA 319 for construction. The construction was completed by McMillan on 11/19/2007 for a cost of $130,069.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations downstream pre-construction. The graphs below show pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream downstream of the project discharge. Post-construction data will be analyzed next year.

Figure 1. Pre pH

![Figure 1. Pre pH](image)

Figure 2. Pre Acidity

![Figure 2. Pre Acidity](image)
Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction
Section III – AMD project reports


Section III for the Huff Run Watershed contains one comprehensive report listing completed and funded AMD projects; displaying photos of the project site, a description of the project, water quality data change at the mouth of Huff Run (station HRR08/HR 32) and the impact of all the reclamation projects from the period 1976-1997 for pre-construction to 1997-2007 for post construction. Acidity and pH graphs have been generated for all completed projects. Acid and metal load reductions were calculated with limited discharge data for: Linden, Lindentree, and Lyons. Farr, Acid Pit #1, and Harsha are lacking either pre or post discharge data to generate the acid and metal load reductions.

List of acid mine drainage reclamation projects reported on in the 2007 NPS monitoring report:

1. Huff Run AML project – Status Completed*
2. Farr project
3. Linden Bioremediation project
4. Acid Pit #1 (Phase 1) project
5. Lindentree project
6. Harsha North project
7. Lyons project
8. Fern-Hill HR-42 project
9. Belden project
10. Thomas project
11. Mineral Zoar Road AMD project

* “Status Completed” projects are no longer being monitored
Huff Run is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County and Rose Township in Carroll County. The watershed has a 14 square mile drainage area and flows ten miles long before discharging into Conotton Creek. The completed projects in Huff Run are evaluated collectively at the mouth of Huff Run (Station HRR08/HR 32). Since 1999 seven projects have been completed and are shown on the following pages. The designs and construction were completed by a variety of companies. The funding sources for these projects for both design and construction were ODNR-DMRM, Ohio EPA 319, and OSM Clean Streams. Figure 3 and 4, estimate that approximately 82 lbs/day of acid and 104 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering Huff Run as a result of these AMD reclamation projects.

List of construction projects completed since 1999:

1. Huff Run AML 1999 "status complete”
2. Farr 2003
3. Linden Bioremediation 2003
4. Acid Pit #1 2004
5. Lindentree 2005
6. Lyons 2005
7. Harsha North 2006

List of funded projects to be complete within the next two years:

1. Thomas
2. Belden
3. Fern Hill HR-42
4. Mineral Zoar
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected along the mainstem at long-term monitoring stations during pre- and post-construction conditions. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of Huff Run. Changes between the pre- and post-conditions are attributed to the completed AMD reclamation projects.

**Figure 1. Pre and Post pH**

![Figure 1. Pre and Post pH](chart1)

**Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity**

![Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity](chart2)

As a result of these projects completed in Huff Run Watershed, the pH and net acidity has improved downstream of the reclamation sites for 5 miles to the mouth. Pre-construction data shows average pH in the range of 4.5 – 7.0 along the mainstem. However after the completion of seven major AMD reclamation projects, post-construction data shows average pH in the range of 6.3 – 7.4. The net acidity concentrations decreased resulting in net alkaline conditions the entire length of Huff Run, 10 miles.
Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 1985 to 1998 for pre-construction and from 1999 – 2007 for post-construction.

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

The Farr Project is located in Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The site is located at the open limestone channel before entering Huff Run. The Farr Project discharges into Huff Run at river mile 1.0. The design was completed by Gannett Fleming for $30,976. The treatment approach was to passively treat deep mine discharge with an anoxic limestone system. The treatment consisted of installing 500 linear feet of limestone channels, a 10,000 cubic foot anoxic limestone drain, a 0.5 acre wetland and complete 1.2 acres of surface reclamation. The goal of the design was to reduce high metals from deep mine discharges to the mainstem of Huff Run. Construction was complete May 2003 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $150,000. Problems with the construction were unexpected high flows versus design flow of system, inadequate retention in system, continue high metal output, limited space for reconstruction or improvements. The funding sources for this project were, ODNR-DMRM for the design and for construction was OSM Clean Streams, ODNR/DMRM and Ohio EPA.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

As a result of the Farr Project, pH and net acidity have slightly improved downstream approximately 1.0 mile. Pre-construction data shows pH range of 5.25-5.97 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Farr Project, post-construction data shows pH range of 6.3-6.47 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 85% at the project discharge and 100% at downstream mainstem site FAR10 on Huff Run.
Linden Bioremediation Project is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The Linden project discharges into Huff Run near river mile 4.6. The Linden project consists of treating a deep mine discharge by directing the water sequentially through a flow control system with a wetland to reduce metal concentrations and provides microbial nutrients. This water then flows through an inoculated Pyrolusite limestone treatment bed, discharge structures, and diversion ditches, before being discharged to the receiving stream. The design was completed by Office of Surface Mining (OSM) engineers at no cost. The treatment consisted of installing a 0.5 acre Pyrolusite limestone bioremediation treatment bed and a 0.3 acre passive wetland. The goal of the design was to generate alkalinity in the upper reaches of Huff Run, with the potential for reduction in metals requiring low maintenance. Construction was complete June 16, 2003 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $321,619. Problems encountered included complications with the bedrock for liner installation, unknown pre-existing gas well in location of treatment bed, and high expense of the inocculant. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA, OSM, and ODNR/DMRM. Figure 3 & 4 (shown page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 18 lbs/day of acid and 5.6 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Huff Run.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Figure 1. Pre and Post pH](image)

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity](image)

Data Analysis

As a result of the Linden Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 0.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.34 – 6.26 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Linden Bioremediation Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.84 -6.99 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge.
Water quality – acid and metal load reduction

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 6/17/1998 to 6/21/1999 for pre-construction and from 8/4/2005 – 4/7/2008 for post-construction. Post-construction data with discharge measurements was very limited for this site (n=2).

Average discharge measurements were used to calculate load reductions using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) instead of deriving the mean annual discharge from the drainage area.

Acid Pit #1 is located in Rose and Sandy Township in Tuscarawas County. The site is located at the effluent from Acid Pit #1. The Acid Pit #1 discharges into Huff Run at river mile 3.78. The design was completed by Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Mineral Resources Management for a cost of $14,000. The treatment approach was to eliminate the acid-filled impoundments, reclaim the mine spoil, eliminate the recharge through the spoil and provide positive drainage. The treatment consisted of installing 2000 linear feet of limestone channels and reclaim 15 acres of gob spoil. The goal of the design was to eliminate the and recharge of extremely acidic water through spoil material and draining into the mainstem Huff Run. Construction was complete March 2004 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $150,000. The problem encountered during construction was the lack of solid base (underclay), to effectively place underdrains for subsurface collection of mine drainage flows. The funding sources for this project were for the design was ODNR-DMRM and for construction was OSM Clean Streams, ODNR/DMRM.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Graph showing pH values pre and post project discharge](image)

As a result of the Acid pit #1 Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 2.4 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.38–5.8 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Acid Pit #1 Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.5 – 6.9 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 31% at the project discharge. This project needs discharge measurements to show acid and metal load reductions.

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Graph showing net acidity values pre and post project discharge](image)

Data Analysis
The Lindentree Project is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The Lindentree project discharges into Huff Run near river mile 5.0 and consists of re-claiming acidic impoundments and acid discharges. Baker Consulting completed the design for a cost of $60,240. The treatment approach consisted of filling the acid pits, raising alkalinity with the use of steel slag, and 100 linear feet of limestone channels. The goal of the design was to reduce acid infiltration from old impoundments, introduce alkaline recharge with steel slag and open limestone channels to up-stream reaches of Huff Run. Construction was complete February 17, 2005 by Monarelli for a cost of $210,000. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA and ODNR/DMRM. Figure 3 & 4 (shown on page 3 of this report) estimate approximately 81 lbs/day of acid and 1.6 lbs/day of metals were reduced from entering into Huff Run.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Graph showing pre and post pH changes](image)

Water quality stations

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Graph showing pre and post acidity changes](image)

Data analysis

As a result of the Linden Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 0.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 5.34 – 6.26 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Linden Bioremediation Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.84 – 6.99 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge.
Water Quality – acid and metal load reduction

Using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) acid and metal load reduction occurring at this project were plotted and shown in Figure 3 and 4. Acidity, iron, aluminum and discharge were measured pre- and post-construction at the project discharge from 2/11/1997 to 3/1/1999 for pre-construction and from 8/4/2005 – 12/31/2007 for post-construction. Pre and post-construction data with discharge measurements were very limited for this site (pre n=2 and post n=3).

Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction

![Figure 3. Acid Load Reduction](image)

Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction

![Figure 4. Metal Load Reduction](image)

Average discharge measurements were used to calculate load reductions using the Mean Annual Load Method (Stoertz, 2004) instead of deriving the mean annual discharge from the drainage area.

Harsha North is located in Rose Township in Carroll County. The sample site is located at the effluent from the Harsha North project. Harsha North discharges into Huff Run at river mile 4.19. The treatment approach was to eliminate discrete acid mine drainage sources via open limestone channels and surface reclamation of acid-forming and refuse material. Major considerations were to collect diffuse acid seeps and discharges and direct them through constructed open limestone channels. The design was completed by ATC Associates for $106,909. The treatment consisted of 22.2 acres of surface reclamation, 4,725 linear feet of limestone J-trenches, and reclaiming a 6-acre gob pile. The goal of the design was to reduce diffuse seeps to a concentrated location via open limestone channels for future passive treatment if necessary, neutralize deep mine discharges with alkaline limestone channels, and add alkalinity to streamflow. Construction was complete September 2006 by Tucson Inc. for a cost of $686,186. Problems encountered were concerns with intended borrow/resoil material. The funding sources for this project were ODNR/DMRM, for the design, and ODNR-DMRM, 319 OEPA grant, and OSM Clean Streams grant for construction.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

![Harsha North pH Graph](image)

Figure 2. Pre and Post Acidity

![Harsha North Acidity Graph](image)

Data Analysis

As a result of the Harsha North Project, pH and net acidity have improved at the project discharge and slightly downstream. Pre-construction data shows pH at the project discharge at an average 3.78. After installation of the Harsha North Project, post-construction data shows an average pH of 6.51. The net acidity concentration decreased 100% at the project discharge. This project needs post construction discharge measurements to show acid and metal load reductions.
Lyons is located in Sandy Township in Tuscawas County. The project site is 35 acres. Lyons discharges into Huff Run at river mile 1.90. The design was completed by ATC Associates for $53,335. The treatment approach was to reclaim eroding mine spoils, eliminate acid impoundments, install alkaline recharge with steel slag berms and open limestone channels. The treatment consisted of installing 3,000 linear feet of limestone channels and 1,500 linear feet of steel slag channel and reclaim a 15 acre of gob pile and 5 acres of surface reclamation. The goal of the design was to eliminate eroding acid spoils and impoundments, generate alkalinity to deep mine pools, decrease AMD discharges and neutralize acidic discharges prior to draining into the mainstem. Construction was complete December 2005 Malcuit for a cost of $794,030. Problems with the construction were placement of underdrain tiles to effectively collect subsurface flows to constructed OLC/steel slag channels. The funding sources for this project were Ohio EPA and ODNR/MRM.
Water Quality Report

Water quality data was collected at the project discharge as well as multiple stations pre and post construction. The graphs below show changes in pH (Figure 1) and acidity (Figure 2) along the mainstem of the receiving stream upstream and downstream of the project discharge as a result of the AMD reclamation project.

Figure 1. Pre and Post pH

As a result of the Lyons Project, pH and net acidity have improved downstream approximately 1.5 miles. Pre-construction data shows pH in the range of 3.39 – 5.63 at the project discharge and downstream. After installation of the Lyons Project, post-construction data shows pH in the range of 6.1 – 6.7 at the discharge and downstream. The net acidity concentration decreased 47% at the project discharge. This project needs discharge measurements to show acid and metal load reductions.
Site description: HR-42 consists of a few acid pits and a large AMD plume that sits directly beside Huff Run itself and dumps severe amounts of AMD directly into the stream.

Design: ODNR-DMRM

Funding Source: OSM Clean Stream and ODNR-DMRM

Expected Costs: $112,000

Expected Completion: Fall 2008
Pre-construction

Gob pile with impounded acidic water
Photo by Huff Run Watershed

The Army Corps of Engineers completed the preliminary design. The final design consisted of two steel slag beds, 14 acres of reclamation and a large sediment pond at the end to drop metals.

Design: ATC Associates
Expected construction costs: $700,000
Funding Source: US EPA Targeted Watershed Grant and ODNR-DMRM
Expected Completion: Fall 2008
Pre-construction

![Southern area with large beaver ponds](image.jpg)

*Photo by Maureen Wise*

Treatment Approach: The site is composed of approximately twenty acres of surface mine water impoundments and toxic mine spoil. The impoundments are recharging a shallow deep mine, allowing for large contributions of metals and acidity to Huff Run. Plans for restoration include a limestone channel for drainage and erosion control plus regrading and revegetation of the spoils and pits. (www.huffrun.org)

Design: ODNR-DMRM

Expected Costs: $275,000

Funding Source: Ohio EPA and ODNR-DMRM

Expected Completion: 2009
The Mineral City Park/Mineral-Zoar Road will take place in the Spring 2009. The reclamation will include a reverse alkaline producing system that will not only fix AMD problems but also help with flooding in the direct vicinity. This project is located in Mineral City. An Office of Surface Mining grant will fund the construction.

Design: Baker Consulting

Expected Costs: $315,000

Expected Completion: Spring 2009
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